

|                       |              |                              |            |
|-----------------------|--------------|------------------------------|------------|
| <b>Case Number:</b>   | CM15-0050941 |                              |            |
| <b>Date Assigned:</b> | 03/24/2015   | <b>Date of Injury:</b>       | 05/23/2013 |
| <b>Decision Date:</b> | 05/01/2015   | <b>UR Denial Date:</b>       | 02/25/2015 |
| <b>Priority:</b>      | Standard     | <b>Application Received:</b> | 03/17/2015 |

### HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:  
 State(s) of Licensure: Florida  
 Certification(s)/Specialty: Neurology, Pain Management

### CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 35 year old male, who sustained an industrial injury on 05/23/2013. He reported that he sustained an injury to the right upper extremity. The injured worker was diagnosed as having open wound of the wrist with tendon involvement, crushing injury of the hand, and carpal tunnel syndrome. Treatment to date has included medication regimen and a Functional Capacity Evaluation. In a progress note dated 01/13/2015 the treating provider reports complaints of constant, mild, achy right wrist pain that radiates to the right elbow and fingers with numbness and tingling. The treating physician also notes that the injured worker has a painful and decreased range of motion along with a positive Tinel's sign. The treating physician requested urine drug screening for monitoring while the injured worker continues on his medication regimen that is prescribed by the treating physician no less frequently than monthly.

### IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

**Urine Drug Screen:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Drug testing Page(s): 43.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation official disability guidelines - pain, opioids.

**Decision rationale:** ODG guidelines note -At the onset of treatment: (1) UDT is recommended at the onset of treatment of a new patient who is already receiving a controlled substance or when chronic opioid management is considered. Urine drug testing is not generally recommended in acute treatment settings (i.e. when opioids are required for nociceptive pain). (2) In cases in which the patient asks for a specific drug. This is particularly the case if this drug has high abuse potential, the patient refuses other drug treatment and/or changes in scheduled drugs, or refuses generic drug substitution. (3) If the patient has a positive or 'at risk' addiction screen on evaluation. This may also include evidence of a history of comorbid psychiatric disorder such as depression, anxiety, bipolar disorder, and/or personality disorder. See Opioids, screening tests for risk of addiction & misuse. (4) If aberrant behavior or misuse is suspected and/or detected. See Opioids, indicators for addiction & misuse. Ongoing monitoring: (1) If a patient has evidence of a 'high risk' of addiction (including evidence of a comorbid psychiatric disorder (such as depression, anxiety, attention-deficit disorder, obsessive-compulsive disorder, bipolar disorder, and/or schizophrenia), has a history of aberrant behavior, personal or family history of substance dependence (addiction), or a personal history of sexual or physical trauma, ongoing urine drug testing is indicated as an adjunct to monitoring along with clinical exams and pill counts. See Opioids, tools for risk stratification & monitoring. (2) If dose increases are not decreasing pain and increasing function, consideration of UDT should be made to aid in evaluating medication compliance and adherence. The medical records provided for review do not document a formal assessment of addiction risk or report intent for chronic opioid therapy. As the medical records do not support these assessments, UDS is not medically necessary.