

Case Number:	CM15-0050217		
Date Assigned:	04/17/2015	Date of Injury:	11/25/2012
Decision Date:	05/15/2015	UR Denial Date:	03/09/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	03/17/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:
 State(s) of Licensure: California, District of Columbia, Maryland
 Certification(s)/Specialty: Anesthesiology, Pain Management

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker (IW) is a 42-year-old female who sustained an industrial injury on 11/25/2012. Diagnoses include status post lumbar decompression, thoracic myofascial pain and right shoulder pain. Treatment to date has included medications, physical therapy and spinal surgery. Diagnostics included electrodiagnostic testing and MRIs. According to the progress notes dated 1/29/15, the IW reported right low back pain with right lower extremity symptoms. There was no documentation concerning insomnia. A request was made for Ambien 10mg to facilitate increased activity/function.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Ambien 10mg #30: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG), Pain (Chronic).

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation ODG, Pain (Chronic), Zolpidem (ambien).

Decision rationale: The MTUS is silent on the treatment of insomnia. With regard to Ambien, the ODG guidelines state "Zolpidem is a prescription short-acting nonbenzodiazepine hypnotic, which is approved for the short-term (usually two to six weeks) treatment of insomnia. Proper sleep hygiene is critical to the individual with chronic pain and often is hard to obtain. Various medications may provide short-term benefit. While sleeping pills, so-called minor tranquilizers, and anti-anxiety agents are commonly prescribed in chronic pain, pain specialists rarely, if ever, recommend them for long-term use. They can be habit-forming, and they may impair function and memory more than opioid pain relievers. There is also concern that they may increase pain and depression over the long-term." The documentation submitted for review does not contain information regarding sleep onset, sleep maintenance, sleep quality, and next-day functioning. It was not noted whether simple sleep hygiene methods were tried and failed. The request is not medically necessary.