

Case Number:	CM15-0048396		
Date Assigned:	03/20/2015	Date of Injury:	05/22/2003
Decision Date:	05/01/2015	UR Denial Date:	02/25/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	03/13/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: New Jersey

Certification(s)/Specialty: Family Practice

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 62 year old female who sustained an industrial injury on 5/22/03. The injured worker reported symptoms in the neck and upper back. The injured worker was diagnosed as having cervicgia. Treatments to date have included oral analgesic, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, and a topical lidocaine patch. Currently, the injured worker complains of neck and upper back pain. The plan of care was for medication prescriptions and a follow up appointment at a later date.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Lidoderm 5% patch #90 with 3 refills: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Topical Analgesics.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Lidoderm (lidocaine patch), pp. 56-57, AND Topical Analgesics, Lidocaine p. 112.

Decision rationale: The MTUS Guidelines for Chronic Pain state that topical lidocaine is not a first-line therapy for chronic pain, but may be recommended for localized peripheral neuropathic

pain after there has been evidence of a trial of first-line therapy (including tri-cyclic, SNRI antidepressants, or an AED such as gabapentin or Lyrica). Topical lidocaine is not recommended for non-neuropathic pain as studies showed no superiority over placebo. In the case of this worker, there was insufficient information provided in the notes which described a failure of Lyrica or other first-line therapies to warrant using Lidoderm for her neuropathic pain. Also, there was insufficient reporting to show specific functional gains directly related to the Lidoderm use to help justify its continuation. Therefore, the Lidoderm patch will be considered medically unnecessary.