

Case Number:	CM15-0048206		
Date Assigned:	03/20/2015	Date of Injury:	05/08/2013
Decision Date:	04/24/2015	UR Denial Date:	02/17/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	03/13/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:
 State(s) of Licensure: California
 Certification(s)/Specialty: Chiropractor, Oriental Medicine

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 56 year old male, who sustained an industrial injury on May 8, 2013. He reported a burn injury. The injured worker was diagnosed as having burns of multiple sites of leg. Treatment to date has included medications, acupuncture, and laboratory evaluations. An acupuncture note from November 25, 2014, indicates a gradual reduction in pain. On December 3, 2014, he is seen for follow-up. He continues to have pain which he rates as 6/10 with medications, poor sleep quality. The records indicate he has completed 6 acupuncture sessions with a result of 50% pain reduction. The request is for 6 additional acupuncture sessions to evaluate and treat bilateral upper extremities, bilateral lower extremities, and chest.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Additional six (6) acupuncture sessions to evaluate and treat bilateral upper extremity (BUE), bilateral lower extremities (BLE) and chest: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Acupuncture Treatment Guidelines.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Acupuncture Treatment Guidelines.

Decision rationale: According to evidenced based guidelines, further acupuncture after an initial trial is medically necessary based on functional improvement. Functional improvement is defined as a clinically significant improvement in activities of daily living, a reduction in work restrictions, or a reduction of dependency on continued medical treatments or medications. The claimant has had prior acupuncture of unknown quantity and duration and had mild subjective benefits. However, the provider fails to document objective functional improvement associated with acupuncture treatment. Therefore, further acupuncture is not medically necessary.