

Case Number:	CM15-0046000		
Date Assigned:	03/18/2015	Date of Injury:	08/09/2006
Decision Date:	04/23/2015	UR Denial Date:	02/26/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	03/11/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:
State(s) of Licensure: California, Arizona, Maryland
Certification(s)/Specialty: Psychiatry

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a female who sustained an industrial injury on August 9, 2006. She has reported lower back pain radiating to the legs. Diagnoses have included major depressive disorder. Treatment to date has included medications. The injured worker was not exercising secondary to pain. A progress note dated January 15, 2015 indicates a chief complaint of chronic lower back pain with muscle spasms, radiculopathies of the lower extremities, pain induced depression, and gastrointestinal issues exacerbated by medications. The treating physician documented a plan of care that included continuation of medications and re-evaluation in one month.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Psychological Testing: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Chapter: Mental Illness and Stress Topic: Psychological evaluations.

Decision rationale: DG states that Psychological evaluations are recommended. Psychological evaluations are generally accepted, well-established diagnostic procedures not only with selected use in pain problems, but also with more widespread use in subacute and chronic pain populations. Diagnostic evaluations should distinguish between conditions that are preexisting, aggravated by the current injury or work related. Psychosocial evaluations should determine if further psychosocial interventions are indicated. See "Psychological Tests Commonly Used in the Assessment of Chronic Pain Patients" from the Colorado Division of Workers Compensation, which describes and evaluates the following 26 tests: (1) BHI - Battery for Health Improvement, (2) MBHI - Millon Behavioral Health Inventory, (3) MBMD - Millon Behavioral Medical Diagnostic, (4) PAB - Pain Assessment Battery, (5) MCMI-111 Millon Clinical Multiaxial Inventory, (6) MMPI-2 Minnesota Inventory, (7) PAI - Personality Assessment Inventory, (8) BBHI 2 - Brief Battery for Health Improvement, (9) MPI - Multidimensional Pain Inventory, (10) P-3 - Pain Patient Profile, (11) Pain Presentation Inventory, (12) PRIME-MD - Primary Care Evaluation for Mental Disorders, (13) PHQ - Patient Health Questionnaire, (14) SF 36, (15) SIP - Sickness Impact Profile, (16) BSI - Brief Symptom Inventory, (17) BSI 18 - Brief Symptom Inventory, (18) SCL-90 - Symptom Checklist, (19) BDI-II - Beck Depression Inventory, (20) CES-D - Center for Epidemiological Studies Depression Scale, (21) PDS Post Traumatic Stress Diagnostic Scale, (22) Zung Depression Inventory, (23) MPQ - McGill Pain Questionnaire, (24) MPQ-SF - McGill Pain Questionnaire Short Form, (25) Oswestry Disability Questionnaire, (26) Visual Analogue Pain Scale VAS. (Bruns, 2001)" The injured worker has been diagnosed with Major Depressive disorder, Anxiety disorder and borderline personality traits. The request for Psychological Testing does not specify the type of Psychological testing being requested. Thus, the request is not medically necessary at this time.