

|                       |              |                              |            |
|-----------------------|--------------|------------------------------|------------|
| <b>Case Number:</b>   | CM15-0044886 |                              |            |
| <b>Date Assigned:</b> | 03/18/2015   | <b>Date of Injury:</b>       | 11/18/2009 |
| <b>Decision Date:</b> | 04/23/2015   | <b>UR Denial Date:</b>       | 02/24/2015 |
| <b>Priority:</b>      | Standard     | <b>Application Received:</b> | 03/10/2015 |

### HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:  
 State(s) of Licensure: New Jersey, Michigan, California  
 Certification(s)/Specialty: Neurology, Neuromuscular Medicine

### CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 44 year old female, who sustained an industrial injury on 11/18/2009. She reported a slip and fall, with subsequent back pain. The injured worker was diagnosed as having postlaminectomy syndrome, lumbar region, lumbosacral radiculitis, lumbosacral disc degeneration, and depressive disorder. Treatment to date has included surgical (lumbar spinal in 2012 and 2013) and conservative measures. Currently, the injured worker complains of failed recent spinal cord stimulator trial (2/16/2015 3 day post-operative). She reported that the stimulator was not extending into her low back and stated that she was sweating heavily during trial. Medications included Percocet, Opana ER, Mirapex, Lexapro, Cyclobenzaprine, and Bupropion. A physical examination was not documented. The treatment plan included a continued prior medication regime. A prior progress report, dated 1/29/2015, noted back pain with spasms of the left buttock area. A physical exam was not recorded.

### IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

**Cyclobenzaprine 7.5mg #90:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Criteria for use of opioids.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Muscle Relaxants Page(s): 63.

**Decision rationale:** According to MTUS guidelines, Cyclobenzaprine a non sedating muscle relaxants is recommended with caution as a second line option for short term treatment of acute exacerbations in patients with chronic spasm and pain. Efficacy appears to diminish over time and prolonged use may cause dependence. The guidelines do not recommend to be used for more than 2-3 weeks. The patient in this case does not have clear recent evidence of spasm and the prolonged use of Cyclobenzaprine is not justified. Therefore, the request for Cyclobenzaprine 7.5mg #90 is not medically necessary.

**Percocet 10/325mg #180:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Criteria for use of opioids Page(s): 179.

**Decision rationale:** According to MTUS guidelines, ongoing use of opioids should follow specific rules: “(a) Prescriptions from a single practitioner taken as directed, and all prescriptions from a single pharmacy. (b) The lowest possible dose should be prescribed to improve pain and function. (c) Office: Ongoing review and documentation of pain relief, functional status, appropriate medication use, and side effects. Pain assessment should include: current pain; the least reported pain over the period since last assessment; average pain; intensity of pain after taking the opioid; how long it takes for pain relief; and how long pain relief lasts. Satisfactory response to treatment may be indicated by the patient's decreased pain, increased level of function, or improved quality of life. Information from family members or other caregivers should be considered in determining the patient's response to treatment. The 4 A's for Ongoing Monitoring: Four domains have been proposed as most relevant for ongoing monitoring of chronic pain patients on opioids: pain relief, side effects, physical and psychosocial functioning, and the occurrence of any potentially aberrant (or non-adherent) drug-related behaviors. These domains have been summarized as the "4 A's" (analgesia, activities of daily living, adverse side effects, and aberrant drug taking behaviors). The monitoring of these outcomes over time should affect therapeutic decisions and provide a framework.” The patient have been using opioids for long period of time without recent documentation of full control of pain and without any documentation of functional or quality of life improvement. There is no clear documentation of patient improvement in level of function, quality of life, adequate follow up for absence of side effects and aberrant behavior with a previous use of narcotics. There is no justification for the use of several narcotics. Therefore, the prescription of Percocet 10/325mg, #180 is not medically necessary.