

<b>Case Number:</b>	CM15-0042189		
<b>Date Assigned:</b>	03/12/2015	<b>Date of Injury:</b>	10/31/2009
<b>Decision Date:</b>	04/24/2015	<b>UR Denial Date:</b>	02/16/2015
<b>Priority:</b>	Standard	<b>Application Received:</b>	03/05/2015

### HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:  
 State(s) of Licensure: New Jersey, Michigan, California  
 Certification(s)/Specialty: Neurology, Neuromuscular Medicine

### CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 48-year-old female, who sustained an industrial injury on 10/31/2009. The mechanism of injury was not provided for review. The injured worker was diagnosed as having myofascial pain, cervical pain, back pain, lumbar degenerative disc disease, sciatica, cervical strain, depression, rotator cuff syndrome and shoulder pain. Treatment to date has included physical therapy and medication management. Currently, a progress note from the treating provider dated 2/4/2015 indicates the injured worker reported back pain that radiates to the left lower extremity, neck and shoulder pain.

### IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

**4 patches of Butrans 5mcg/hr:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Buprenorphine.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Criteria for use of opioids Page(s): 78-79.

**Decision rationale:** According to MTUS guidelines, ongoing use of opioids should follow specific rules: a) Prescriptions from a single practitioner taken as directed, and all prescriptions from a single pharmacy. (b) The lowest possible dose should be prescribed to improve pain and function. (c) Office: Ongoing review and documentation of pain relief, functional status, appropriate medication use, and side effects. Pain assessment should include: current pain; the least reported pain over the period since last assessment; average pain; intensity of pain after taking the opioid; how long it takes for pain relief; and how long pain relief lasts. Satisfactory response to treatment may be indicated by the patient's decreased pain, increased level of function, or improved quality of life. Information from family members or other caregivers should be considered in determining the patient's response to treatment. The 4 A's for Ongoing Monitoring: Four domains have been proposed as most relevant for ongoing monitoring of chronic pain patients on opioids: pain relief, side effects, physical and psychosocial functioning, and the occurrence of any potentially aberrant (or non adherent) drug-related behaviors. These domains have been summarized as the "4 A's" (analgesia, activities of daily living, adverse side effects, and aberrant drug taking behaviors). The monitoring of these outcomes over time should affect therapeutic decisions and provide a framework. According to MTUS guidelines, Butrans is recommended to treat opiate addiction. There is no clear documentation of patient improvement in level of function, quality of life, adequate follow up or absence of side effects and aberrant behavior with previous use of opioids. The patient continued to have significant pain with Butrans. There is no justification to use multiple opioids. There is no recent documentation of recent opioid addiction. Therefore, the request for 4 patches of Butrans 5mcg/hr is not medically necessary.