

<b>Case Number:</b>	CM15-0040867		
<b>Date Assigned:</b>	03/11/2015	<b>Date of Injury:</b>	06/17/2012
<b>Decision Date:</b>	04/20/2015	<b>UR Denial Date:</b>	01/26/2015
<b>Priority:</b>	Standard	<b>Application Received:</b>	03/04/2015

### HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:  
 State(s) of Licensure: New Jersey, Michigan, California  
 Certification(s)/Specialty: Neurology, Neuromuscular Medicine

### CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 57-year-old male, who sustained an industrial injury on 6/17/12. He reported right knee pain. The injured worker was diagnosed as having internal derangement of knee, joint derangement of shoulder, long term use of medications and therapeutic drug monitoring. Treatment to date has included physical therapy, right total knee arthroplasty, oral medications with weaning of narcotics and cortisone injections. Currently, the injured worker complains of lumbar spine pain due to altered gait following right total knee arthroplasty. Physical therapy is being requested for lumbar spine modalities for pain. On physical exam lumbar spine tenderness is noted on right ischiogluteal muscles and right knee revealed a healing incision with improving range of motion and tenderness to palpation over the lateral joint line, medial joint line and patella.

### IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

**Physical therapy 2x6:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Postsurgical Treatment Guidelines.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Physical Medicine Page(s): 98.

**Decision rationale:** According to MTUS guidelines, Physical Medicine is "Recommended as indicated below. Passive therapy (those treatment modalities that do not require energy expenditure on the part of the patient) can provide short-term relief during the early phases of pain treatment and are directed at controlling symptoms such as pain, inflammation and swelling and to improve the rate of healing soft tissue injuries. They can be used sparingly with active therapies to help control swelling, pain and inflammation during the rehabilitation process. Active therapy is based on the philosophy that therapeutic exercise and/or activity are beneficial for restoring flexibility, strength, endurance, function, range of motion, and can alleviate discomfort. Active therapy requires an internal effort by the individual to complete a specific exercise or task. This form of therapy may require supervision from a therapist or medical provider such as verbal, visual and/or tactile instruction(s). Patients are instructed and expected to continue active therapies at home as an extension of the treatment process in order to maintain improvement levels. Home exercise can include exercise with or without mechanical assistance or resistance and functional activities with assistive devices. (Colorado, 2002) (Airaksinen, 2006) Patient-specific hand therapy is very important in reducing swelling, decreasing pain, and improving range of motion in CRPS. (Li, 2005) The use of active treatment modalities (e.g., exercise, education, activity modification) instead of passive treatments is associated with substantially better clinical outcomes. In a large case series of patients with low back pain treated by physical therapists, those adhering to guidelines for active rather than passive treatments incurred fewer treatment visits, cost less, and had less pain and less disability. The overall success rates were 64.7% among those adhering to the active treatment recommendations versus 36.5% for passive treatment. (Fritz, 2007)". There is no documentation of the efficacy and outcome of previous physical therapy sessions. The patient underwent at least 6 sessions of physical therapy without clear documentation of efficacy. There is no documentation that the patient cannot perform home exercise. Therefore, the request for 12 physical therapy sessions is not medically necessary.

**Evaluation for radicular pain:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** The Claims Administrator did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation ACOEM Guidelines, Chapter 7, page 127.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Chronic pain programs, early intervention Page(s): 32-33.

**Decision rationale:** According to MTUS guidelines, the presence of red flags may indicate the need for specialty consultation. In addition, the requesting physician should provide a documentation supporting the medical necessity for a pain management evaluation with a specialist. The documentation should include the reasons, the specific goals and end point for using the expertise of a specialist. In this case, there is no clear documentation for the rationale for the request. There is no evidence of radiculopathy with this patient. The requesting physician did not provide a documentation supporting the medical necessity for this visit. The provider documentation should include the reasons, the specific goals and end point for using the

expertise of a specialist. Therefore, the request for Evaluation for radicular pain is not medically necessary.