

Case Number:	CM15-0040179		
Date Assigned:	03/10/2015	Date of Injury:	11/15/2013
Decision Date:	05/01/2015	UR Denial Date:	02/10/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	03/03/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:
 State(s) of Licensure: New Jersey, Michigan, California
 Certification(s)/Specialty: Neurology, Neuromuscular Medicine

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 43 year old male, who sustained an industrial injury on 11/15/2013. The current diagnoses are degenerative disc disease of the lumbar spine, chronic pain, bursitis/tendinitis of the shoulder region, bicipital tenosynovitis, and rotator cuff syndrome. According to the progress report dated 1/14/2015, the injured worker complains of moderate-to-severe pain in the low back and left shoulder. The low back pain radiates into the right leg and shoulder pain radiates into the arm. Treatment to date has included medication management, physical therapy, MRI of the lumbar spine/left shoulder, and ultrasound of the left shoulder. The plan of care includes scapular stabilization brace and 6 physical therapy sessions to the lumbar spine.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Scapular stabilization brace: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Shoulder Chapter.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation IntelliSkin posture garments. <http://www.odg-twc.com/index.html>.

Decision rationale: According to ODG guidelines, IntelliSkin posture garments "Not recommended as a treatment for shoulder pain. IntelliSkin posture garments conform to the back and shoulders as a second skin, intended to gradually reshape these areas for improved posture, athletic performance and less pain, according to marketing materials. There are no quality published studies to support these claims. See also the Low Back Chapter." "The patient was diagnosed with left shoulder pain and the need for a scapular brace is not clear. There are controlled studies supporting the use of scapular stabilization brace for shoulder pain. Therefore, the request is not medically necessary.

Lumbar physical therapy (PT) 2-3 times 6: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Physical Medicine.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Physical Medicine Page(s): 98.

Decision rationale: According to MTUS guidelines, Physical Medicine is "Recommended as indicated below. Passive therapy (those treatment modalities that do not require energy expenditure on the part of the patient) can provide short term relief during the early phases of pain treatment and are directed at controlling symptoms such as pain, inflammation and swelling and to improve the rate of healing soft tissue injuries. They can be used sparingly with active therapies to help control swelling, pain and inflammation during the rehabilitation process. Active therapy is based on the philosophy that therapeutic exercise and/or activity are beneficial for restoring flexibility, strength, endurance, function, range of motion, and can alleviate discomfort. Active therapy requires an internal effort by the individual to complete a specific exercise or task. This form of therapy may require supervision from a therapist or medical provider such as verbal, visual and/or tactile instruction(s). Patients are instructed and expected to continue active therapies at home as an extension of the treatment process in order to maintain improvement levels. Home exercise can include exercise with or without mechanical assistance or resistance and functional activities with assistive devices. (Colorado, 2002) (Airaksinen, 2006) Patient-specific hand therapy is very important in reducing swelling, decreasing pain, and improving range of motion in CRPS. (Li, 2005) The use of active treatment modalities (e.g., exercise, education, activity modification) instead of passive treatments is associated with substantially better clinical outcomes. In a large case series of patients with low back pain treated by physical therapists, those adhering to guidelines for active rather than passive treatments incurred fewer treatment visits, cost less, and had less pain and less disability. The overall success rates were 64.7% among those adhering to the active treatment recommendations versus 36.5% for passive treatment. (Fritz, 2007)." There is no documentation of the efficacy and outcome of previous physical therapy sessions. The patient underwent 12 sessions of physical therapy without clear documentation of efficacy. There is no documentation that the patient

cannot perform home exercise. Therefore, the request for Lumbar physical therapy (PT) 2-3 times 6 is not medically necessary.