

Case Number:	CM15-0039137		
Date Assigned:	03/09/2015	Date of Injury:	05/22/2013
Decision Date:	04/16/2015	UR Denial Date:	01/30/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	03/02/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:
 State(s) of Licensure: New York, Tennessee
 Certification(s)/Specialty: Emergency Medicine

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 41-year-old female, who sustained an industrial injury on 5/22/13. She reported pain in the lower back related to lifting a heavy object. The injured worker was diagnosed as having lumbago. Treatment to date has included lumbar MRI, physical therapy and pain medications. As of the PR2 dated 1/13/14, the injured worker reports increased low back pain by sitting, standing, laying down, bending, walking and coughing. She indicated that physical therapy was not the right physical therapy because it was mostly passive. The treating physician noted that the injured worker did not want to have injections or surgery and has pain on palpation over the left iliac crest. He recommended increasing Gabapentin and starting Lidoderm patches.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Lidoderm patches 5% (unspecified quantity): Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines topical analgesics.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Pain Interventions and Guidelines Page(s): 112. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines: Pain Lidoderm® (lidocaine patch).

Decision rationale: Lidocaine is recommended for localized peripheral pain after the evidence of a trial for first-line therapy, such as an antidepressant or antiepileptic drug. It is only FDA approved for the treatment of post-herpetic neuralgia. The guidelines state that further research is needed to recommend this treatment for chronic neuropathic pain. Criteria for use of Lidoderm patches: (a) Recommended for a trial if there is evidence of localized pain that is consistent with a neuropathic etiology. (b) There should be evidence of a trial of first-line neuropathy medications (tri-cyclic or SNRI anti-depressants or an AED such as gabapentin or Lyrica). (c) This medication is not generally recommended for treatment of osteoarthritis or treatment of myofascial pain/trigger points. (d) An attempt to determine a neuropathic component of pain should be made if the plan is to apply this medication to areas of pain that are generally secondary to non-neuropathic mechanisms (such as the knee or isolated axial low back pain). One recognized method of testing is the use of the Neuropathic Pain Scale. (e) The area for treatment should be designated as well as number of patches planned (f) A Trial of patch treatment is recommended for a short-term period (no more than four weeks). (g) It is generally recommended that no other medication changes be made during the trial period. (h) Outcomes should be reported at the end of the trial including improvements in pain and function, and decrease in the use of other medications. If improvements cannot be determined, the medication should be discontinued. (i) Continued outcomes should be intermittently measured and if improvement does not continue, lidocaine patches should be discontinued. In this case, the patient has been using Lidoderm patches since at least January 2014 and has not obtained analgesia. Criteria for Lidoderm use have not been met. The request should not be authorized.

Gabapentin 300mg (unspecified quantity): Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines anti-epilepsy drugs (AEDs) / anti-convulsants.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Pain Interventions and Guidelines Page(s): 18-19.

Decision rationale: Gabapentin is an anti-epileptic medication. Gabapentin has been shown to be effective for treatment of diabetic painful neuropathy and postherpetic neuralgia, has been considered as a first-line treatment for neuropathic pain, and has FDA approval for treatment of post-herpetic neuralgia. Gabapentin appears to be effective in reducing abnormal hypersensitivity, to have anti-anxiety effects, and may be beneficial as a sleep aid. Gabapentin has a favorable side-effect profile, few clinically significant drug-drug interactions and is generally well tolerated; however, common side effects include dizziness, somnolence, confusion, ataxia, peripheral edema, dry mouth, and weight gain. It has been recommended for the treatment of pain from spinal cord injury, fibromyalgia, lumbar spinal stenosis, and chronic regional pain syndrome. Recommended trial period is three to eight weeks for titration, then one to two weeks at maximum tolerated dosage. If inadequate control of pain is found, a switch to another first-line drug is recommended. In this case, the patient has been using Lidoderm patches

since at least January 2014 and has not obtained analgesia. Switch to another first-line drug is recommended. The request should not be authorized.