

Case Number:	CM15-0039072		
Date Assigned:	04/08/2015	Date of Injury:	08/01/2005
Decision Date:	05/01/2015	UR Denial Date:	02/04/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	03/02/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: California

Certification(s)/Specialty: Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation, Pain Management

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 58-year-old female, who sustained an industrial injury on 8/1/05. The injured worker has complaints of elbow pain. The diagnoses have included elbow pain; acquired trigger finger; medial epicondylitis and lateral epicondylitis. Treatment to date has included injections and diclofenac gel for treatments of some areas of upper extremity pain. The request was for lidocaine pad.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Lidocaine Pad 5% Day Supply: 90 QTY: 90: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Topical Analgesics Page(s): 111, 112.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines 8 C.C.R. 9792.20 & 9792.26 MTUS (Effective July 18, 2009) Page(s): 112 of 127.

Decision rationale: Regarding request for topical lidocaine, Chronic Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines recommend the use of topical lidocaine for localized peripheral pain after there has been evidence of a trial of the 1st line therapy such as tri-cyclic antidepressants, SNRIs, or

antiepileptic drugs. Within the documentation available for review, there is no indication that the patient has failed first-line therapy recommendations. Additionally, there is no documentation of analgesic effect or objective functional improvement as a result of the currently prescribed lidocaine. Finally, there is no documentation of localized peripheral pain as recommended by guidelines. As such, the currently requested lidocaine is not medically necessary.