

Case Number:	CM15-0038914		
Date Assigned:	03/09/2015	Date of Injury:	10/07/1991
Decision Date:	04/10/2015	UR Denial Date:	02/20/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	03/02/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: Florida

Certification(s)/Specialty: Family Practice

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 67-year-old male, who sustained an industrial injury on 10/07/1991. Initial complaints and diagnoses were not provided. The injured worker's current diagnoses include lumbar degenerative disc disease and failed back surgery syndrome. Treatment to date has included conservative care, pain pump placement/implant, and medications. No diagnostic testing was provided or discussed. Currently, the injured worker complains of continued lumbar pain with radiating pain into the right leg, and burning pain in both calves with objective findings of restricted range of motion. The current treatment plan includes refill of medications, weight loss plan, home exercise program, non-steroid anti-inflammatory drugs, and follow up in one month.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Norco 10/325mg: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Criteria for use of opioids, page(s) 110-115 Page(s): Criteria for use of opioids, page(s) 110-115.

Decision rationale: In accordance with California MTUS guidelines, narcotics for chronic pain management should be continued if: (a) If the patient has returned to work, (b) If the patient has improved functioning and pain. MTUS guidelines also recommend that narcotic medications only be prescribed for chronic pain when there is evidence of a pain management contract being upheld with proof of frequent urine drug screens. Regarding this patient's case, there is no objective evidence of functional improvement presented. This medication has been recommended for weaning on multiple occasions by utilization review. It is not considered medically necessary.