

Case Number:	CM15-0038510		
Date Assigned:	03/09/2015	Date of Injury:	02/17/2014
Decision Date:	04/10/2015	UR Denial Date:	02/17/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	03/02/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: California

Certification(s)/Specialty: Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 53-year-old female, who sustained an industrial injury on 2/17/2014. She reports bilateral wrist injury from welding. Diagnoses include bilateral wrist chronic sprains, bilateral wrist tenosynovitis, right styloid tenosynovitis and first metacarpal joint arthritis. Treatments to date include physical therapy and medication management. A progress note from the treating provider dated 1/29/2014 indicates the injured worker reported bilateral hand pain. The treatment plan included bilateral upper extremities electromyography (EMG)/Nerve Conduction Study.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

EMG/NCV of the bilateral upper extremities: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 8 Neck and Upper Back Complaints Page(s): 178. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG), Neck and Upper Back Chapter.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 8 Neck and Upper Back Complaints Page(s): Chapter 8 Neck & Upper Back, Special Studies and Diagnostic and Treatment Considerations, pages 177-178.

Decision rationale: Per MTUS Guidelines, without specific symptoms or neurological compromise consistent with radiculopathy, foraminal or spinal stenosis, or entrapment syndrome, medical necessity for EMG and NCV have not been established. Submitted reports have not demonstrated any symptoms or clinical findings to suggest any cervical radiculopathy or entrapment syndrome, only with continued diffuse pain without specific consistent myotomal or dermatomal correlation to support for electrodiagnostics for a patient without any report of new injury, acute flare-up, or red-flag conditions. The EMG/NCV of the bilateral upper extremities is not medically necessary and appropriate.