

Case Number:	CM15-0038407		
Date Assigned:	03/09/2015	Date of Injury:	10/04/2010
Decision Date:	04/13/2015	UR Denial Date:	02/25/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	03/02/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: California

Certification(s)/Specialty: Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 51 year old male, who sustained a work related injury on 10/4/10. He slipped from the edge of a curb while carrying boxes. He injured his right knee. The diagnoses have included status post right knee surgery, medial compartment osteoarthritis right knee, severe degenerative joint disease in right knee and morbid obesity. Treatments to date have included a MRI right knee dated 10/18/10, x-rays of bilateral knees dated 10/11/11, use of a knee brace, ice/heat, medications, home exercise program, cortisone injection, Orthovisc injections, MRI right knee dated 10/18/10 and physical therapy. In the PR-2 dated 2/5/15, the injured worker complains of constant, worsening, moderate to severe right knee pain causing popping, locking, stiffness, grinding, stabbing, giving way and catching. He complains of left knee pain because of favoring right leg when walking. He rates the pain a 6-7/10. He uses a cane for ambulation. He has tenderness to palpation of right knee joint. He has some limited range of motion in right knee. The requested treatment for Independent Medical Review is 10 sessions of [REDACTED] weight loss program. On 2/25/15, Utilization Review non-certified 10 sessions of [REDACTED] weight loss program.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

10 Sessions of [REDACTED] weight loss program: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 1 Prevention.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation ODG, Knee Chapter, Obesity, page 320.

Decision rationale: Although MTUS/ACOEM are silent on weight loss program, ODG does state high BMI in obese patient with osteoarthritis does not hinder surgical intervention if the patient is sufficiently fit to undergo the short-term rigors of surgery. There is no peer-reviewed, literature-based evidence that a weight reduction program is superior to what can be conducted with a nutritionally sound diet and a home exercise program. There is, in fact, considerable evidence-based literature that the less dependent an individual is on external services, supplies, appliances, or equipment, the more likely they are to develop an internal locus of control and self-efficacy mechanisms resulting in more appropriate knowledge, attitudes, beliefs, and behaviors. The fewer symptoms are ceremonialized and the sick role is reinforced as some sort of currency for positive gain, the greater the quality of life is expected to be. A search on the National Guideline Clearinghouse for "Weight Loss Program" produced no treatment guidelines that support or endorse a Weight Loss Program for any medical condition. While it may be logical for injured workers with disorders to lose weight so that there is less stress on the body, there are no treatment guidelines that support a formal Weight Loss Program in a patient with chronic pain. The long-term effectiveness of weight loss programs, as far as maintained weight loss, is very suspect. There are many published studies that show that prevention of obesity is a much better strategy to decrease the adverse musculoskeletal effects of obesity because there are no specific weight loss programs that produce long-term maintained weight loss. Additionally, the patient's symptoms, clinical findings, and diagnoses remain unchanged for this chronic injury without acute flare, new injury, or specific surgical treatment plan hindered by the patient's chronic obesity that would require a weight loss program. There is no specific BMI or weight gain documented in comparison to initial weight at date of injury. The provider has not identified any specifics of supervision planned. Other guidelines state that although obesity does not meet the definition of an industrial injury or occupational disease, a weight loss program may be an option for individuals who meet the criteria to undergo needed surgery; participate in physical rehabilitation with plan to return to work, not demonstrated here, as the patient has remained functionally unchanged for this chronic injury. The 10 Sessions of [REDACTED] weight loss program is not medically necessary and appropriate.