

Case Number:	CM15-0038350		
Date Assigned:	03/09/2015	Date of Injury:	05/02/2013
Decision Date:	04/13/2015	UR Denial Date:	02/05/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	03/02/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:
 State(s) of Licensure: California
 Certification(s)/Specialty: Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

This 36 year old male sustained an industrial injury on 5/2/13. He subsequently reports ongoing neck pain and headaches. Diagnoses include lumbar sprain/ strain and cervical sprain/strain. Diagnostic testing has included X-rays and an MRI. The injured worker has undergone right wrist surgery. Treatments to date have included physical therapy, acupuncture, injections, chiropractic care, and prescription pain medications. On 2/5/15, Utilization Review non-certified a request for a Functional Capacity Evaluation.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Functional Capacity Evaluation: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation ACOEM Guidelines, Chapter 7, page 137.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Page(s): Chapter 7, Independent Medical Examinations and Consultations, page(s) 137-138.

Decision rationale: It appears the patient has not reached maximal medical improvement and continues to exhibit chronic pain symptoms s/p conservative care of therapy, medications,

chiropractic treatment, acupuncture, injections, and modified activities/rest. Current review of the submitted medical reports has not adequately demonstrated the indication to support for the request for Functional Capacity Evaluation as the patient continues to actively treat and is disabled. Per the ACOEM Treatment Guidelines on the Chapter for Independent Medical Examinations and Consultations regarding Functional Capacity Evaluation, there is little scientific evidence confirming FCEs ability to predict an individual's actual work capacity as behaviors and performances are influenced by multiple nonmedical factors which would not determine the true indicators of the individual's capability or restrictions. The Functional Capacity Evaluation is not medically necessary and appropriate.