

Case Number:	CM15-0037796		
Date Assigned:	03/06/2015	Date of Injury:	09/03/2003
Decision Date:	04/14/2015	UR Denial Date:	01/29/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	02/27/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: Pennsylvania

Certification(s)/Specialty: Family Practice

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 63 year old individual who sustained an industrial injury on 09/03/2003. Current diagnoses are the same as 10/02/2013 per the primary treating physician. Previous treatments included medication management. Report dated 01/21/2015 notes that functional the injured worker has improved but still notes pain. Physical examination was not included. Utilization review performed on 01/29/2015 non-certified a prescription for Tramadol, based on the clinical information submitted does not support medical necessity. The reviewer referenced the California MTUS and Official Disability Guidelines in making this decision.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Tramadol 50mg # 180 with 2 refills: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Page(s): 79-81. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG).

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids Page(s): 74-96.

Decision rationale: According to the guidelines, determination for the use of opioids should not focus solely on pain severity but should include the evaluation of a wide range of outcomes including measures of functioning, appropriate medication use, and side effects. The guidelines state: that measures of pain assessment that allow for evaluation of the efficacy of opioids and whether their use should be maintained include the following: current pain; the least reported pain over the period since last assessment; average pain; intensity of pain after taking the opioid; how long it takes for pain relief; and how long pain relief last. The criteria for long term use of opioids (6-months or more) includes among other items, documentation of pain at each visit and functional improvement compared to baseline using a numerical or validated instrument every 6 months. In this case, there is insufficient documentation of the assessment of pain, function and side effects in response to opioid use to substantiate the medical necessity for tramadol.