

<b>Case Number:</b>	CM15-0037061		
<b>Date Assigned:</b>	03/05/2015	<b>Date of Injury:</b>	08/22/2009
<b>Decision Date:</b>	09/22/2015	<b>UR Denial Date:</b>	01/29/2015
<b>Priority:</b>	Standard	<b>Application Received:</b>	02/27/2015

### HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:  
 State(s) of Licensure: California, District of Columbia, Maryland  
 Certification(s)/Specialty: Anesthesiology, Pain Management

### CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

This 46 year old woman sustained an industrial injury on 8-22-2009 after the truck she was driving hit a wall due to brake failure. Evaluations include cervical disc disease, cervical radiculitis, neck pain, and carpal tunnel syndrome. Treatment has included oral medications. Physician notes dated 1-6-2015 show complaints of cervical spine pain and right upper extremity pain with numbness to the fourth and fifth fingers. Recommendations include Norco, Voltaren, Neurontin, Zanaflex, cervical spine CT scan, electromyogram and nerve conduction studies of the bilateral upper extremities, and follow up in six weeks.

### IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

**Naproxen 550mg #60:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines NSAIDs Page(s): 67-68.

**Decision rationale:** With regard to the use of NSAIDs for chronic low back pain, the MTUS CPMTG states "Recommended as an option for short-term symptomatic relief. A Cochrane review of the literature on drug relief for low back pain (LBP) suggested that NSAIDs were no more effective than other drugs such as acetaminophen, narcotic analgesics, and muscle relaxants. The review also found that NSAIDs had more adverse effects than placebo and acetaminophen but fewer effects than muscle relaxants and narcotic analgesics. In addition, evidence from the review suggested that no one NSAID, including COX-2 inhibitors, was clearly more effective than another." "Low back pain (chronic): Both acetaminophen and NSAIDs have been recommended as first line therapy for low back pain. There is insufficient evidence to recommend one medication over the other. Selection should be made on a case-by-case basis based on weighing efficacy vs. side effect profile." The documentation submitted for review indicates that the injured worker has been using this medication since at least 1/2015. As it is only recommended for short-term symptomatic relief, the request is not medically necessary.