

Case Number:	CM15-0035844		
Date Assigned:	03/04/2015	Date of Injury:	09/12/2012
Decision Date:	04/14/2015	UR Denial Date:	02/06/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	02/25/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:
 State(s) of Licensure: New Jersey, Michigan, California
 Certification(s)/Specialty: Neurology, Neuromuscular Medicine

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

This 40 year old male sustained an industrial injury on 9/12/12. He subsequently reports ongoing low back pain. Diagnoses include herniated nucleus pulposus with radiculopathy. The injured worker underwent back surgery. Treatments to date have included injections, TENS therapy, physical therapy and prescription pain medications. On 2/6/15, Utilization Review non-certified requests for Right Sacroiliac Joint Injection, Right Greater Trochanteric Bursa Injection and Caudal Epidural Steroid Injection were based on MTUS Chronic Pain guidelines. The Right Sacroiliac Joint Injection and Right Greater Trochanteric Bursa Injection were denied based on ODG guidelines, the Caudal Epidural Steroid Injection denial was based on MTUS Chronic Pain guidelines.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Right Sacroiliac Joint Injection: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG), Treatment Index, 11 Edition, 2014, Hip & Pelvis, Sacroiliac joint blocks.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation ODG Sacroiliac injections.

Decision rationale: MTUS guidelines are silent regarding sacroiliac injections. According to ODG guidelines, sacroiliac injections are medically necessary if the patient fulfills the following criteria: 1.the history and physical examination should suggest the diagnosis; 2. Other pain generators should be excluded; 3. Documentation of failure of 4-6 weeks aggressive therapies; 4. Blocks are performed under fluoroscopy; 5. Documentation of 80% pain relief for a diagnostic block; 6. If steroids are injected during the initial injection, the duration of relief should be at least 6 weeks; 7. In the therapeutic phase, the interval between 2 block is at least 2 months; 8. The block is not performed at the same day as an epidural injection; 9. The therapeutic procedure should be repeated as needed with no more than 4 procedures per year. It is not clear from the patient file, that the patient fulfills the criteria of sacroiliac damage, that the sacroiliac joint is the pain generator and other pain generator have been excluded. Therefore, the requested for Right Sacroiliac Joint Injection is not medically necessary.

Right Greater Trochanteric Bursa Injection: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG), Treatment Index, 11th Edition, 2014, Hip & Pelvis, Trochanteric Bursitis injections.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Trochanteric bursitis injections <http://www.odg-twc.com/index.html>.

Decision rationale: According to ODG guidelines, Trochanteric bursitis injections Recommended. Gluteus medius tendinosis/tears and trochanteric bursitis/pain are symptoms that are often related, and commonly correspond with shoulder tendinoses and subacromial bursitis, though there is no evidence of a direct correlation between the hip and shoulder. All of these disorders are associated with hip pain and morbidity. (Cormier, 2006) (Lonner, 2002) (Bird, 2001) (Chung, 1999) (Kingzett-Taylor, 1999) (Howell, 2001) For trochanteric pain, corticosteroid injection is safe and highly effective, with a single corticosteroid injection often providing satisfactory pain relief (level of evidence, C). Trochanteric bursitis is the second leading cause of hip pain in adults, and a steroid-anesthetic single injection can provide rapid and prolonged relief, with a 2.7-fold increase in the number of patients who were pain-free at 5 years after a single injection. Steroid injection should be offered as a first-line treatment of trochanteric bursitis, particularly in older adults. Trochanteric corticosteroid injection is a simple, safe procedure that can be diagnostic as well as therapeutic. Use of a combined corticosteroid-anesthetic injection typically results in rapid, long-lasting improvement in pain and in disability. Particularly in older adults, corticosteroid injection should be considered as first-line treatment of trochanteric bursitis because it is safe, simple, and effective. (Stephens, 2008) (Ege Rasmussen, 1985) (Schapira, 1986) (Shbeeb, 1996) (Cohen, 2009) Corticosteroid injections are effective for greater trochanteric pain syndrome (GTPS) managed in primary care, according to a recent RCT. GTPS, also known as trochanteric bursitis, is a common cause of hip pain. In this first randomized controlled trial assessing the effectiveness of corticosteroid injections vs usual care

in GTPS, a clinically relevant effect was shown at a 3-month follow-up visit for recovery and for pain at rest and with activity, but at a 12-month follow-up visit, the differences in outcome were no longer present. (Brinks, 2011) There is no documentation that the patient trochanteric bursa is the main pain generator. There is no documentation that the patient failed conservative therapies. Therefore, the request is not medically necessary.

Caudal Epidural Steroid Injection: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Epidural Steroid Injections (ESIs) Page(s): 46.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 12 Low Back Complaints Page(s): 309.

Decision rationale: According to MTUS guidelines, epidural steroid injection is optional for radicular pain to avoid surgery. It may offer short term benefit; however there is no significant long term benefit or reduction for the need of surgery. There is no recent clinical and objective documentation of radiculopathy. The patient is not candidate for surgery. MTUS guidelines do not recommend epidural injections for back pain without radiculopathy. Therefore, Caudal Epidural Steroid Injection is not medically necessary.