

Case Number:	CM15-0035361		
Date Assigned:	03/03/2015	Date of Injury:	09/08/2014
Decision Date:	04/08/2015	UR Denial Date:	02/09/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	02/25/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: California

Certification(s)/Specialty: Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 51 year old male, who sustained an industrial injury on 9/8/2014. He has reported slipping and falling resulting in back and shoulder pain. The diagnoses have included lumbar strain, new left-sided sciatica, left frozen shoulder and rotator cuff strain, left hand numbness, and history of lumbar laminectomy and lumbar spinal stenosis. Treatment to date has included Non-Steroidal Anti-Inflammatory Drugs (NSAIDs), analgesic, physical therapy. Currently, the IW complains of low back and left shoulder pain. The physical examination from 2/3/15 documented decreased Range of Motion (ROM) and tenderness of the lumbar spine with a positive Kemp's test bilaterally and also with decreased strength and sensation bilateral at L4, L5, and S1. The examination of the shoulder was significant for tenderness, numerous positive tests, including positive impingement and Yergason's tests. There were decreased ulnar and median nerve distributions. The plan of care included requests for authorization for acupuncture, a wrist brace and weight loss program. On 2/9/2015 Utilization Review non-certified a weight loss program, noting the requested services are suggested for hypothyroidism, Cushing's disease, and hypothalamic lesions. The non-MTUS, ACOEM, or ODG Guidelines were cited. On 2/25/2015, the injured worker submitted an application for IMR for review of a weight loss program.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Weight loss program: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation CMS 40.5 - Treatment of Obesity (Rev. 54, Issued: 04-28-06, Effective: 02-21-06, Implementation: 05-30-06 Carrier/10-02-06 FI), <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21377601>.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation ODG, Obesity, page 320.

Decision rationale: Although MTUS/ACOEM are silent on weight loss program, the ODG does state high BMI in obese patient with osteoarthritis does not hinder surgical intervention if the patient is sufficiently fit to undergo the short-term rigors of surgery. There is no peer-reviewed, literature-based evidence that a weight reduction program is superior to what can be conducted with a nutritionally sound diet and a home exercise program. There is, in fact, considerable evidence-based literature that the less dependent an individual is on external services, supplies, appliances, or equipment, the more likely they are to develop an internal locus of control and self-efficacy mechanisms resulting in more appropriate knowledge, attitudes, beliefs, and behaviors. The fewer symptoms are ceremonialized and the sick role is reinforced as some sort of currency for positive gain, the greater the quality of life is expected to be. A search on the National Guideline Clearinghouse for 'Weight Loss Program' produced no treatment guidelines that support or endorse a Weight Loss Program for any medical condition. While it may be logical for injured workers with disorders to lose weight, so that there is less stress on the body, there are no treatment guidelines that support a formal Weight Loss Program in a patient with chronic pain. The long term effectiveness of weight loss programs, as far as maintained weight loss, is very suspect. There are many published studies that show that prevention of obesity is a much better strategy to decrease the adverse musculoskeletal effects of obesity because there are no specific weight loss programs that produce long term maintained weight loss. Additionally, the patient's symptoms, clinical findings, and diagnoses remain unchanged for this injury without acute flare, new injury, or specific surgical treatment plan hindered by the patient's obesity that would require a weight loss program. There is no specific BMI or weight gain documented in comparison to initial weight at date of injury. The provider has not identified what program or any specifics of supervision or treatment planned. Other guidelines state that although obesity does not meet the definition of an industrial injury or occupational disease, a weight loss program may be an option for individuals who meet the criteria to undergo needed surgery; participate in physical rehabilitation with plan to return to work, not demonstrated here as the patient has remained functionally unchanged for this slip and fall injury. The Weight loss program is not medically necessary and appropriate.