

Case Number:	CM15-0034889		
Date Assigned:	03/03/2015	Date of Injury:	08/22/2014
Decision Date:	04/13/2015	UR Denial Date:	02/04/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	02/24/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:
 State(s) of Licensure: New Jersey, Michigan, California
 Certification(s)/Specialty: Neurology, Neuromuscular Medicine

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 52 year old female who sustained an industrial injury on 08/22/14. She reports back pain. Diagnoses include sacroiliitis, pain in thoracic spine, and lumbar strain. Treatments to date include medications. In a progress note dated 1/09/14 the treating provider recommends physical therapy, and a possible facet injection, with continued medication. On 02/16/15 Utilization Review non-certified an H wave machine, citing MTUS guidelines.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Outpatient durable medical equipment (DME) H-wave device: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines H-wave stimulation (HWT).

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines H wave stimulation Page(s): 117.

Decision rationale: According to MTUS guidelines, H wave stimulation is not recommended in isolation. It could be used in diabetic neuropathy and neuropathic pain and soft tissue pain after failure of conservative therapies. There is no controlled study supporting its use in radicular pain

and focal limb pain. There is no documentation that the request of H wave device is prescribed with other pain management strategies in this case. Furthermore, there is no clear evidence for the need of H wave therapy. There is no documentation of patient tried and failed conservative therapies. There is no documentation of failure of first line therapy and conservative therapies including pain medications and physical therapy. There is no objective documentation of functional improvement with a previous TENS. Therefore, the request for H-Wave device is not medically necessary.