

Case Number:	CM15-0034400		
Date Assigned:	03/02/2015	Date of Injury:	04/11/2014
Decision Date:	04/07/2015	UR Denial Date:	02/03/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	02/23/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: New Jersey

Certification(s)/Specialty: Family Practice

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 59 year old male who sustained an industrial injury on 04/11/2014. Current diagnoses include sciatica, lumbar muscle strain, neck muscle strain, right trapezius strain, and left trapezius strain. Previous treatments included medication management and therapy. Report dated 08/14/2014 noted that the injured worker presented with complaints that included pain in the bilateral neck, low back, and shoulders with numbness and tingling radiating to the legs. Physical examination was positive for abnormal findings. Utilization review performed on 02/03/2015 non-certified a prescription for lumbar spine epidural injection at L5 level and cervical spine epidural injection at C6 level, based on the clinical information submitted does not support medical necessity. The reviewer referenced the California MTUS in making this decision.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Lumbar spine epidural injection at L5: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Epidural steroid injections Page(s): 46.

Decision rationale: The MTUS Guidelines state that epidural steroid injections are recommended as an option for treatment of lumbar radicular pain (defined as pain in dermatomal distribution with corroborative findings of radiculopathy) and can offer short term pain relief, but use should be in conjunction with other rehab efforts, including continuing a home exercise program. The criteria as stated in the MTUS Guidelines for epidural steroid injection use for chronic pain includes the following: 1. radiculopathy must be documented by physical examination and corroborated by imaging studies and/or electrodiagnostic testing, 2. Initially unresponsive to conservative treatment (exercise, physical methods, NSAIDs, and muscle relaxants), 3. Injections should be performed using fluoroscopy for guidance, 4. If used for diagnostic purposes, a maximum of two injections should be performed. A second block is not recommended if there is inadequate response to the first block. Diagnostic blocks should be at an interval of at least one to two weeks between injections, 5. no more than two nerve root levels should be injected using transforaminal blocks, 6. no more than one interlaminar level should be injected at one session, 7. in the therapeutic phase, repeat blocks should be based on continued objective documented pain and functional improvement, including at least 50% pain relief with associated reduction of medication use for six to eight weeks, with a general recommendation of no more than 4 blocks per region per year, and 8. Current research does not support a "series-of-three" injections in either the diagnostic or therapeutic phase, and instead only up to 2 injections are recommended. In the case of this worker, there was insufficient evidence found in the documentation provided for review to suggest the criteria was met for a lumbar epidural injection at L5. There was no MRI or nerve testing results provided for review, no physical findings to show specific L5 nerve pathology, and insufficient reporting of which conservative treatments were tried preceding this request. Therefore, the lumbar spine epidural injection at L5 will be considered medically unnecessary until this documentation is provided for review.

Cervical spine epidural injection at C6: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Epidural steroid injection Page(s): 46.

Decision rationale: The MTUS Guidelines state that epidural steroid injections are recommended as an option for treatment of lumbar radicular pain (defined as pain in dermatomal distribution with corroborative findings of radiculopathy) and can offer short term pain relief, but use should be in conjunction with other rehab efforts, including continuing a home exercise program. The criteria as stated in the MTUS Guidelines for epidural steroid injection use for chronic pain includes the following: 1. radiculopathy must be documented by physical examination and corroborated by imaging studies and/or electrodiagnostic testing, 2. Initially unresponsive to conservative treatment (exercise, physical methods, NSAIDs, and muscle relaxants), 3. Injections should be performed using fluoroscopy for guidance, 4. If used for diagnostic purposes, a maximum of two injections should be performed. A second block is not

recommended if there is inadequate response to the first block. Diagnostic blocks should be at an interval of at least one to two weeks between injections, 5. no more than two nerve root levels should be injected using transforaminal blocks, 6. no more than one interlaminar level should be injected at one session, 7. in the therapeutic phase, repeat blocks should be based on continued objective documented pain and functional improvement, including at least 50% pain relief with associated reduction of medication use for six to eight weeks, with a general recommendation of no more than 4 blocks per region per year, and 8. Current research does not support a "series-of-three" injections in either the diagnostic or therapeutic phase, and instead only up to 2 injections are recommended. In the case of this worker, there was insufficient evidence found in the documentation provided for review to suggest the criteria was met for a cervical epidural injection at C6. There was no MRI or nerve testing results provided for review, no physical findings to show specific C6 nerve pathology, and insufficient reporting of which conservative treatments were tried preceding this request. Therefore, the cervical spine epidural injection at C6 will be considered medically unnecessary until this documentation is provided for review.