

Case Number:	CM15-0034362		
Date Assigned:	03/02/2015	Date of Injury:	01/12/2012
Decision Date:	04/08/2015	UR Denial Date:	02/16/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	02/24/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: Iowa, Illinois, Hawaii

Certification(s)/Specialty: Preventive Medicine, Occupational Medicine, Public Health & General Preventive Medicine

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 58-year-old female, who sustained an industrial injury on January 12, 2012. The mechanism of injury is unknown. The diagnoses have included strain of the coccyx, lumbar strain and left hip contusion. Treatment to date has included injections, chiropractic treatment, acupuncture, medication and psychiatric/psychological evaluation. On January 5, 2015, the injured worker complained of left sided buttocks and lumbar pain. The pain was described as constant and rated a 6 on a 1-10 pain scale. Aggravating factors included sitting, standing and walking. On February 16, 2015, Utilization Review non-certified aqua therapy 2x6 for the lumbar spine, noting the CA MTUS Guidelines. On February 24, 2015, the injured worker submitted an application for Independent Medical Review for review of aqua therapy 2x6 for the lumbar spine.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Aqua therapy 2 times 6 for lumbar spine: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Aquatic Therapy and Physical Medicine Page(s): 22, 98-99. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Low Back, Aquatic Therapy and Other Medical Treatment Guidelines MD Guidelines, Aquatic Therapy.

Decision rationale: California MTUS guidelines state that Aquatic therapy (including swimming) can minimize the effects of gravity, so it is specifically recommended where reduced weight bearing is desirable, for example extreme obesity. MD Guidelines similarly states, If the patient has subacute or chronic LBP and meets criteria for a referral for supervised exercise therapy and has co-morbidities (e.g., extreme obesity, significant degenerative joint disease, etc.) that preclude effective participation in a weight-bearing physical activity, then a trial of aquatic therapy is recommended for the treatment of subacute or chronic LBP. The medical documents provided do not indicate any concerns that patient was extremely obese. Imaging results provided do not report severe degenerative joint disease. Records provided indicate that the patient received numerous physical therapy sessions (to include home exercises). No objective clinical findings were provided, however, that delineated the outcome of those physical therapy treatments. Additionally, medical notes provided did not detail a reason why the patient is unable to effectively participate in weight-bearing physical activities. Regarding the number of visits, MTUS states Allow for fading of treatment frequency (from up to 3 visits per week to 1 or less), plus active self-directed home Physical Medicine. ODG states Patients should be formally assessed after a "six-visit clinical trial" to see if the patient is moving in a positive direction, no direction, or a negative direction (prior to continuing with the physical therapy); & (6) When treatment duration and/or number of visits exceeds the guideline, exceptional factors should be noted. At the conclusion of this trial, additional treatment would be assessed based upon documented objective, functional improvement, and appropriate goals for the additional treatment. The number of requested visits is in excess of the initial six-visit trial. The treating physician does not document a reason to grant additional visits in excess of this trial. As such, the request for Aqua therapy 2 times 6 for lumbar spine is not medically necessary.