

Case Number:	CM15-0032571		
Date Assigned:	02/26/2015	Date of Injury:	08/26/2010
Decision Date:	04/07/2015	UR Denial Date:	01/19/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	02/20/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:
 State(s) of Licensure: New Jersey, Michigan, California
 Certification(s)/Specialty: Neurology, Neuromuscular Medicine

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 43-year-old female, with a reported date of injury of 08/26/2010. The diagnoses include frontal and back spinal fusion with instrumentation at L5-S1. Treatments have included a rolling walker, physical therapy, neurodiagnostic testing of the right leg, a computerized tomography (CT) scan of the lumbar spine, and an MRI of the lumbar spine, and oral medications. The agreed medical re-evaluation dated 09/08/2014 indicates that the injured worker had low back pain. She states that she was having trouble with her right leg. The injured worker rated the pain 7 out of 10 at rest, and 9 out of 10 with activity. The objective findings included a limp when walking heel striking with the right leg, decreased lumbar range of motion, mildly positive stretch tests in the right leg, tenderness across the lumbar spine. The treating physician requested physical therapy two times a week for six weeks for the lumbar spine to help gain better range of motion, more stability of the lower back, and muscle strengthening. On 01/19/2015, Utilization Review (UR) modified the request for physical therapy two times a week for six weeks for the lumbar spine, noting that the injured worker had attended twenty-eight sessions of physical therapy; an additional six sessions falls within the guidelines. The MTUS Postsurgical Treatment Guidelines were cited.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Physical therapy 2x6 for the lumbar spine: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Postsurgical Treatment Guidelines.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Physical Medicine Page(s): 98.

Decision rationale: According to MTUS guidelines, Physical Medicine is “recommended as indicated below. Passive therapy (those treatment modalities that do not require energy expenditure on the part of the patient) can provide short term relief during the early phases of pain treatment and are directed at controlling symptoms such as pain, inflammation and swelling and to improve the rate of healing soft tissue injuries. They can be used sparingly with active therapies to help control swelling, pain and inflammation during the rehabilitation process. Active therapy is based on the philosophy that therapeutic exercise and/or activity are beneficial for restoring flexibility, strength, endurance, function, range of motion, and can alleviate discomfort. Active therapy requires an internal effort by the individual to complete a specific exercise or task. This form of therapy may require supervision from a therapist or medical provider such as verbal, visual and/or tactile instruction(s). Patients are instructed and expected to continue active therapies at home as an extension of the treatment process in order to maintain improvement levels. Home exercise can include exercise with or without mechanical assistance or resistance and functional activities with assistive devices.(Colorado, 2002) (Airaksinen, 2006) Patient-specific hand therapy is very important in reducing swelling, decreasing pain, and improving range of motion in CRPS. (Li, 2005) The use of active treatment modalities (e.g., exercise, education, activity modification) instead of passive treatments is associated with substantially better clinical outcomes. In a large case series of patients with low back pain treated by physical therapists, those adhering to guidelines for active rather than passive treatments incurred fewer treatment visits, cost less, and had less pain and less disability. The overall success rates were 64.7% among those adhering to the active treatment recommendations versus 36.5% for passive treatment.” (Fritz, 2007) There is no documentation of objective findings that support musculoskeletal dysfunction requiring more physical therapy. There is no detailed, recent and objective evaluation of the patient lumbosacral spine condition. There is no documentation of the outcome of previous physical therapy session. There is no justification for the prescription of 12 sessions of physical therapy without documentation of the efficacy of the first visits. Therefore Physical therapy 2x6 for the lumbar spine is not medically necessary.