

Case Number:	CM15-0032431		
Date Assigned:	02/25/2015	Date of Injury:	08/08/2011
Decision Date:	04/07/2015	UR Denial Date:	01/29/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	02/20/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:
 State(s) of Licensure: New Jersey, Michigan, California
 Certification(s)/Specialty: Neurology, Neuromuscular Medicine

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 50 year old male, who sustained an industrial injury on August 8, 2011. The injured worker has reported neck and upper extremity injuries. The diagnoses have included degenerative disc disease of the cervical spine, cervical spondylolisthesis, cervical spine spinal stenosis and status post cervical fusion in December of 2014. Treatment to date has included pain medications, injections and physical therapy. Current documentation dated January 13, 2015 notes that the injured worker complained of stiffness in the trapezius muscles and pain in the left thumb. Cervical x-rays showed that the hardware in the cervical spine was in good position. No Physical examination was noted. On January 29, 2015 Utilization Review modified a request for Percocet 10/325 mg # 50 with one refill and non-certified a request for Soma 350 mg # 50 with one refill. The MTUS, Chronic Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines, were cited.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Percocet 10/325mg # 50 with 1 refill: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Criteria for use of opioids Page(s): 76-79.

Decision rationale: According to MTUS guidelines, ongoing use of opioids should follow specific rules: “(a) Prescriptions from a single practitioner taken as directed, and all prescriptions from a single pharmacy. (b) The lowest possible dose should be prescribed to improve pain and function. (c) Office: Ongoing review and documentation of pain relief, functional status, appropriate medication use, and side effects. Pain assessment should include: current pain; the least reported pain over the period since last assessment; average pain; intensity of pain after taking the opioid; how long it takes for pain relief; and how long pain relief lasts. Satisfactory response to treatment may be indicated by the patient's decreased pain, increased level of function, or improved quality of life. Information from family members or other caregivers should be considered in determining the patient's response to treatment. The 4 A's for Ongoing Monitoring: Four domains have been proposed as most relevant for ongoing monitoring of chronic pain patients on opioids: pain relief, side effects, physical and psychosocial functioning, and the occurrence of any potentially aberrant (or non adherent) drug-related behaviors. These domains have been summarized as the "4 A's" (analgesia, activities of daily living, adverse side effects, and aberrant drug taking behaviors). The monitoring of these outcomes over time should affect therapeutic decisions and provide a framework.” The patient has been using Opioids for a long period of time without recent documentation of full control of pain and without any documentation of functional or quality of life improvement. There is no clear documentation of patient improvement in level of function, quality of life, adequate follow up for absence of side effects and aberrant behavior with a previous use of narcotics. Therefore the prescription of Percocet 10/325mg # 50 with 1 refill is not medically necessary.

Soma 350mg # 50 with 1 refill: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Muscle Relaxants.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Soma Page(s): 29.

Decision rationale: According to MTUS guidelines, a non sedating muscle relaxants is recommended with caution as a second line option for short term treatment of acute exacerbation in patients with chronic lumbosacral pain. Efficacy appears to diminish over time and prolonged use may cause dependence. According to the provided file, the patient was prescribed Soma for more than 3 weeks without clear evidence of spasm or exacerbation of neck pain. There is no justification for prolonged use of Soma. The request for Soma 350mg # 50 with 1 refill is not medically necessary.