

<b>Case Number:</b>	CM15-0032292		
<b>Date Assigned:</b>	02/25/2015	<b>Date of Injury:</b>	07/13/2013
<b>Decision Date:</b>	04/03/2015	<b>UR Denial Date:</b>	02/09/2015
<b>Priority:</b>	Standard	<b>Application Received:</b>	02/20/2015

### HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: North Carolina

Certification(s)/Specialty: Family Practice

### CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 45 year old male, who sustained an industrial injury on July 13, 2013. The diagnoses have included bilateral shoulder pain, rotator cuff tear of the right shoulder. Left shoulder rotator cuff repair, chronic biceps tendon tear and chronic low back pain. Currently, the injured worker complains of aching pain in the bilateral shoulders and burning pain in the left shoulder and low back. He has radiating pain to the left anterior and lateral thigh with numbness and tingling. He reports that he has difficulty-sleeping secondary to the shoulder pain and reports that Lunesta is significantly helpful with that. He reports that his pain is rated a 10 on a 10-point scale without the use of medications and a 6 on a 10 point scale with medications. On February 9, 2015 Utilization Review non-certified a request for Lunesta 2 mg #30, noting that hypnotics are not recommended for the chronic phase of care. The Official Disability Guidelines were cited. On February 20, 2015, the injured worker submitted an application for IMR for review of Lunesta 2 mg #30.

### IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

**Lunesta 2mg #30:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** The Claims Administrator did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines, Mental Illness & Stress.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation ODG, insomnia.

**Decision rationale:** The California MTUS and the ACOEM do not specifically address this medication. Per the official disability guidelines recommend pharmacological agents for insomnia only is used after careful evaluation of potential causes of sleep disturbance. Primary insomnia is usually addressed pharmacologically. Secondary insomnia may be treated with pharmacological and/or psychological measures. Pharmacological treatment consists of four main categories: Benzodiazepines, Non-benzodiazepines, Melatonin and melatonin receptor agonists and over the counter medications. Sedating antidepressants have also been used to treat insomnia however there is less evidence to support their use for insomnia, but they may be an option in patients with coexisting depression. The patient does not have the diagnosis of primary insomnia. There is also no documentation of first line insomnia treatment options such as sleep hygiene measures. Therefore the request is not certified.