

<b>Case Number:</b>	CM15-0031694		
<b>Date Assigned:</b>	02/25/2015	<b>Date of Injury:</b>	10/08/2014
<b>Decision Date:</b>	04/07/2015	<b>UR Denial Date:</b>	02/19/2015
<b>Priority:</b>	Standard	<b>Application Received:</b>	02/19/2015

### HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:  
 State(s) of Licensure: New Jersey, Michigan, California  
 Certification(s)/Specialty: Neurology, Neuromuscular Medicine

### CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 43 year old male, who sustained an industrial injury on October 8, 2014. He has reported lower back pain radiating to the bilateral legs, and left knee pain. The diagnoses have included sprain/strain of the knee/leg, and lower back pain with sprain/strain. Treatment to date has included medications, physical therapy, and imaging studies. A progress note dated February 5, 2015 indicates a chief complaint of continued lower back pain with radiation to the legs, and left knee pain. Physical examination showed decreased range of motion of the lumbar spine and tenderness to palpation of the left knee. The treating physician is requesting eight continued physical therapy evaluation and treatment sessions twice each week for four weeks for the lumbar spine. On February 19, 2015 Utilization Review denied the request citing the American College of Occupational and Environmental Medicine Guidelines. On February 19, 2015, the injured worker submitted an application for IMR of a request for eight continued physical therapy evaluation and treatment sessions twice each week for four weeks for the lumbar spine.

### IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

**Eight (8) continued physical therapy (evaluate and treat) 2 times a week for 4 weeks for the lumbar spine: Upheld**

**Claims Administrator guideline:** Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 12 Low Back Complaints.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Physical Medicine Page(s): 98.

**Decision rationale:** According to MTUS guidelines, Physical Medicine is "Recommended as indicated below. Passive therapy (those treatment modalities that do not require energy expenditure on the part of the patient) can provide short term relief during the early phases of pain treatment and are directed at controlling symptoms such as pain, inflammation and swelling and to improve the rate of healing soft tissue injuries. They can be used sparingly with active therapies to help control swelling, pain and inflammation during the rehabilitation process. Active therapy is based on the philosophy that therapeutic exercise and/or activity are beneficial for restoring flexibility, strength, endurance, function, range of motion, and can alleviate discomfort. Active therapy requires an internal effort by the individual to complete a specific exercise or task. This form of therapy may require supervision from a therapist or medical provider such as verbal, visual and/or tactile instruction(s). Patients are instructed and expected to continue active therapies at home as an extension of the treatment process in order to maintain improvement levels. Home exercise can include exercise with or without mechanical assistance or resistance and functional activities with assistive devices. (Colorado, 2002) (Airaksinen, 2006) Patient-specific hand therapy is very important in reducing swelling, decreasing pain, and improving range of motion in CRPS. (Li, 2005) The use of active treatment modalities (e.g., exercise, education, activity modification) instead of passive treatments is associated with substantially better clinical outcomes. In a large case series of patients with low back pain treated by physical therapists, those adhering to guidelines for active rather than passive treatments incurred fewer treatment visits, cost less, and had less pain and less disability. The overall success rates were 64.7% among those adhering to the active treatment recommendations versus 36.5% for passive treatment. (Fritz, 2007)" There is no documentation of objective findings that support musculoskeletal dysfunction requiring more physical therapy. There is no detailed, recent and objective evaluation of the patient lumbosacral spine condition. There is no documentation of the outcome of previous physical therapy session. There is no justification for the prescription of 8 sessions of physical therapy without documentation of the efficacy of the first visits. Therefore Eight (8) continued physical therapy (evaluate and treat) 2 times a week for 4 weeks for the lumbar spine is not medically necessary.