

Case Number:	CM15-0031610		
Date Assigned:	02/26/2015	Date of Injury:	11/30/2004
Decision Date:	04/23/2015	UR Denial Date:	02/12/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	02/19/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: Arizona, Michigan

Certification(s)/Specialty: Preventive Medicine, Occupational Medicine

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 61 year old male, who sustained an industrial injury on November 30, 2004. He has reported lower back pain and right knee pain. Diagnoses have included thoracic/lumbosacral neuritis/radiculitis, right knee sprain, and medication induced gastritis. Treatment to date has included medications, epidural steroid injection, surgery, spinal cord stimulator and imaging studies. A progress note dated January 5, 2015 indicates a chief complaint of continued lower back pain and right knee pain. The treating physician documented a plan of care that included medications.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Norco 10/325mg #180: Overturned

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids Page(s): 74-96 (78,89,95).

Decision rationale: Per the MTUS, opioids should be discontinued if there is no overall improvement in function, unless there are extenuating circumstances, Opioids should be continued if the patient has returned to work or has improved functioning and pain. On going management actions should include prescriptions from a single practitioner, taken as directed and all prescriptions from a single pharmacy. The lowest possible dose should be prescribed to improve pain and function. Documentation should follow the 4 A's of analgesia, activities of daily living, adverse side effects, and aberrant drug taking behaviors. Long term users of opioids should be regularly reassessed. In the maintenance phase the dose should not be lowered if it is working. Also, patients who receive opioid therapy may sometimes develop unexpected changes in their response to opioids, which includes development of abnormal pain, change in pain pattern, persistence of pain at higher levels than expected. when this happens opioids can actually increase rather than decrease sensitivity to noxious stimuli. it is important to note that a decrease in opioid efficacy should not always be treated by increasing the dose or adding other opioids, but may actually require weaning. A review of the injured workers medical records show documented improvement in functioning and pain and per the medical records is not demonstrating aberrant drug taking behaviors, therefore based on the injured workers clinical presentation and the guidelines the request for Norco 10/325mg #180 is medically necessary.

MS Contin 30mg #120: Overturned

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids Page(s): 74-96 (78,89,95).

Decision rationale: Per the MTUS, opioids should be discontinued if there is no overall improvement in function, unless there are extenuating circumstances, Opioids should be continued if the patient has returned to work or has improved functioning and pain. On going management actions should include prescriptions from a single practitioner, taken as directed and all prescriptions from a single pharmacy. The lowest possible dose should be prescribed to improve pain and function. Documentation should follow the 4 A's of analgesia, activities of daily living, adverse side effects, and aberrant drug taking behaviors. Long term users of opioids should be regularly reassessed. In the maintenance phase the dose should not be lowered if it is working. Also, patients who receive opioid therapy may sometimes develop unexpected changes in their response to opioids, which includes development of abnormal pain, change in pain pattern, persistence of pain at higher levels than expected. when this happens opioids can actually increase rather than decrease sensitivity to noxious stimuli. it is important to note that a decrease in opioid efficacy should not always be treated by increasing the dose or adding other opioids, but may actually require weaning. A review of the injured workers medical records show documented improvement in functioning and pain and per the medical records is not demonstrating aberrant drug taking behaviors, therefore based on the injured workers clinical presentation and the guidelines the request for MS Contin 30mg #120 is medically necessary.

Protonix 30mg #30: Overturned

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines NSAIDs, GI symptoms and cardiovascular risk Page(s): 68-69. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Pain(Chronic) / Proton Pump Inhibitors.

Decision rationale: Per the MTUS, Clinicians should weigh the indications for NSAIDs against both GI and cardiovascular risk factors according to specific criteria listed in the MTUS and a selection should be made based on these criteria 1) age > 65 years; (2) history of peptic ulcer, GI bleeding or perforation; (3) concurrent use of ASA, corticosteroids, and/or an anticoagulant; or (4) high dose/multiple NSAID (e.g., NSAID + low-dose ASA). Per the ODG, PPI's are Recommended for patients at risk for gastrointestinal events. Prilosec (omeprazole), Prevacid (lansoprazole) and Nexium (esomeprazole magnesium) are PPIs. Healing doses of PPIs are more effective than all other therapies, although there is an increase in overall adverse effects compared to placebo. Nexium and Prilosec are very similar molecules. (Donnellan, 2010) In this RCT omeprazole provided a statistically significantly greater acid control than lansoprazole. (Miner, 2010) In general, the use of a PPI should be limited to the recognized indications and used at the lowest dose for the shortest possible amount of time. PPIs are highly effective for their approved indications, including preventing gastric ulcers induced by NSAIDs. Studies suggest, however, that nearly half of all PPI prescriptions are used for unapproved indications or no indications at all. Many prescribers believe that this class of drugs is innocuous, but much information is available to demonstrate otherwise. Products in this drug class have demonstrated equivalent clinical efficacy and safety at comparable doses, including esomeprazole (Nexium), lansoprazole (Prevacid), omeprazole (Prilosec), pantoprazole (Protonix), dexlansoprazole (Dexilant), and rabeprazole (Aciphex). (Shi, 2008) A trial of omeprazole or lansoprazole had been recommended before prescription Nexium therapy (before it went OTC). The other PPIs, Protonix, Dexilant, and Aciphex, should be second-line. According to the latest AHRQ Comparative Effectiveness Research, all of the commercially available PPIs appeared to be similarly effective. (AHRQ, 2011). A review of the injured workers medical records that are available to me reveal documentation of medication induced gastritis, therefore the request for protonix 30mg # 30 is medically necessary.

Valium 10mg #90: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Benzodiazepines Page(s): 24.

Decision rationale: Per the MTUS, benzodiazepines are not recommended for long term use because long term efficacy is not proven and there is a risk of dependence. Most guidelines limit their use to 4 weeks. Their range of action includes sedative/hypnotic, anxiolytic, anticonvulsant and muscle relaxant. Chronic benzodiazepines are the treatment of choice in very few conditions, Tolerance to all of its effects occurs within weeks to months including anxiolytic effects and

long term use may actually increase anxiety. A more appropriate treatment for anxiety disorder is an antidepressant. A review of the injured workers medical records do not reveal any reason to continue this medication and therefore the request for Valium 10 mg # 90 is not medically necessary.

Zoloft 100mg #30: Overturned

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Mental Illness & Stress / Sertraline (Zoloft) and Other Medical Treatment Guidelines Physicians Desk Reference (PDR) / Zoloft.

Decision rationale: The MTUS/ ACOEM does not specifically address the use of this medication and therefore other guidelines were consulted. Per the ODG, sertraline (Zoloft) is recommended as a first-line treatment option for Major Depressive Disorder and PTSD. Per the PDR it is also used for the treatment of anxiety. A review of the injured workers medical records reveal that the clinical indication for the use of this medication is anxiety, therefore based on the guidelines the request for Zoloft 100mg #30 is medically necessary.