

Case Number:	CM15-0031146		
Date Assigned:	02/24/2015	Date of Injury:	03/14/1994
Decision Date:	05/01/2015	UR Denial Date:	02/11/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	02/19/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: New York, Pennsylvania, Washington

Certification(s)/Specialty: Internal Medicine, Geriatric Medicine

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 77 year old male, who sustained an industrial injury on 03/14/1994. Treatment to date has included surgery and medications. Utilization Review noted the date of injury as 01/17/1938. According to a progress report dated 12/10/2014, the provider noted that this was a chronic pain case and that the injured worker needed medicines for life. Diagnoses included status post left knee surgery and left knee arthroscopy. The provider submitted an authorization request for Norco.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Norco 5/325 #60: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation California Chronic pain Medical Treatment Guidelines (May 2009).

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines 9792.20 - 9792.26 Page(s): 74-80.

Decision rationale: This injured worker has chronic pain with an injury sustained in 1994. The medical course has included numerous treatment modalities including surgery and use of several medications including narcotics. Per the guidelines, in opioid use, ongoing review and documentation of pain relief, functional status, appropriate medication use and side effects is required. Satisfactory response to treatment may be reflected in decreased pain, increased level of function or improved quality of life. The MD visit of 12/14 fails to document any significant improvement in pain, functional status or a discussion of side effects specifically related to opioids to justify use per the guidelines. The request of Norco is not medically necessary.