

Case Number:	CM15-0223062		
Date Assigned:	11/19/2015	Date of Injury:	06/04/2014
Decision Date:	12/30/2015	UR Denial Date:	11/02/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	11/12/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: California

Certification(s)/Specialty: Preventive Medicine, Occupational Medicine

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 43-year-old female who sustained an industrial injury on 6-4-2014 and has been treated for chronic myofascial pain, right knee pain, and sprain of the left posterior tibial tendon and medial ankle collateral ligaments. On 10-26-2015 the injured worker reported radiation of pain to the right knee and right side of low back, and was worse with standing. The note is partially illegible, but it indicates that the injured worker was using TENS, was having a podiatry evaluation, and was returning to work with restrictions. Documented treatment includes ice, ankle brace, physical therapy, Naproxen, Nabumetone, and the treating physician's plan of care included a retroactive request for authorization for Lidopro cream, noted to be prescribed in a note dated 10-26-2015 because the injured worker was breastfeeding. This was denied on 11-2-2015.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Retrospective: Lidopro 120ml Topical 1#: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009, Section(s): Topical Analgesics.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009, Section(s): Topical Analgesics.

Decision rationale: Lidopro ointment contains the active ingredients methyl salicylate 27.5%, capsaicin 0.0375%, lidocaine 4.5% and menthol 10%. Salicylate topical is recommended by the MTUS Guidelines, as it is significantly better than placebo in chronic pain. The MTUS Guidelines recommend the use of topical capsaicin only as an option in patients who have not responded or are intolerant to other treatments. There have been no studies of a 0.0375% formulation of capsaicin and there is no current evidence that this increase over a 0.025% formulation would provide any further efficacy. Topical lidocaine is used primarily for neuropathic pain when trials of antidepressants and anticonvulsants have failed. Topical lidocaine, in the formulation of a dermal patch (Lidoderm) has been designated for orphan status by the FDA for neuropathic pain. Lidoderm is also used off-label for diabetic neuropathy. No other commercially approved topical formulations of lidocaine (whether creams, lotions or gels) are indicated for neuropathic pain. Non-dermal patch formulations are generally indicated as local anesthetics and anti-pruritics. Menthol is not addressed by the MTUS Guidelines, but it is often included in formulations of anesthetic agents. It induces tingling and cooling sensations when applied topically. Menthol induces analgesia through calcium channel-blocking actions, as well as binding to kappa-opioid receptors. Menthol is also an effective topical permeation enhancer for water-soluble drugs. There are reports of negative effects from high doses of menthol such as 40% preparations. The use of topical analgesics are recommended by the MTUS Guidelines as an option for the treatment of chronic pain, however, any compounded product that contains at least one drug or drug class that is not recommended is not recommended. In regards to Lidopro cream, the use of capsaicin at 0.0375% and topical lidocaine not in a dermal patch formulation are not recommended by the MTUS Guidelines. The request for Lidopro 120ml Topical #1 is determined to not be medically necessary.