

Case Number:	CM15-0222480		
Date Assigned:	11/18/2015	Date of Injury:	08/04/2014
Decision Date:	12/30/2015	UR Denial Date:	11/09/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	11/12/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:
 State(s) of Licensure: New York, Tennessee
 Certification(s)/Specialty: Emergency Medicine

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 44 year old male who sustained an industrial injury on 8-4-14. The injured worker reported back and lower extremity pain. A review of the medical records indicates that the injured worker is undergoing treatments for lumbar spine herniated nucleus pulposus, lumbar spine radiculitis. Medical records dated 9-14-15 indicate low back pain rated at 8 out of 10 and lower extremity pain rated at 6 out of 10. Provider documentation dated 9-14-15 noted the work status as return to full duty 9-14-15. Treatment has included physical therapy, chiropractic treatments, injection therapy, Vicodin, soma, Ibuprofen, and lumbar magnetic resonance imaging (2-20-15). Objective findings dated 9-14-15 were notable for tenderness to palpation to the lumbar paraspinal region, decreased sensation to the lateral foot and leg and S1 distribution. The original utilization review (11-9-15) denied a request for MRI of the lumbar spine and Lumbar epidural steroid injection.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

MRI of the lumbar spine: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Low Back Complaints 2004.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Low Back - Lumbar and Thoracic MRI's.

Decision rationale: MRI of the spine is recommended for indications below. MRI's are test of choice for patients with prior back surgery. MRI of the lumbar spine for uncomplicated low back pain, with radiculopathy, is not recommended until after at least one month conservative therapy, sooner if severe or progressive neurologic deficit. Repeat MRI is not routinely recommended, and should be reserved for a significant change in symptoms and/or findings suggestive of significant pathology (eg, tumor, infection, fracture, neurocompression, recurrent disc herniation). Indications for imaging -- Magnetic resonance imaging: Thoracic spine trauma: with neurological deficit, Lumbar spine trauma: trauma, neurological deficit, Lumbar spine trauma: seat belt (chance) fracture (If focal, radicular findings or other neurologic deficit), Uncomplicated low back pain, suspicion of cancer, infection, other "red flags", Uncomplicated low back pain, with radiculopathy, after at least 1 month conservative therapy, sooner if severe or progressive neurologic deficit. Uncomplicated low back pain, prior lumbar surgery, Uncomplicated low back pain, cauda equina syndrome- Myelopathy (neurological deficit related to the spinal cord), traumatic- Myelopathy, painful- Myelopathy, sudden onset- Myelopathy, stepwise progressive- Myelopathy, slowly progressive- Myelopathy, infectious disease patient- Myelopathy, oncology patient. In this case the patient underwent MRI of the lumbar spine in February 2015. Since that examination there is no documentation of significant change in symptoms or of red flags. There is no medical indication for repeat MRI of the lumbar spine. The request should not be authorized. Therefore, the requested treatment is not medically necessary.

Lumbar epidural steroid injection: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Low Back Complaints 2004.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009, Section(s): Epidural steroid injections (ESIs).

Decision rationale: Epidural steroid injections are recommended as an option for treatment of radicular pain (defined as pain in dermatomal distribution with corroborative findings of radiculopathy). Radiculopathy must be documented by physical examination and corroborated by imaging studies and/or electrodiagnostic testing. Epidural steroid injection can offer short term pain relief and use should be in conjunction with other rehab efforts, including continuing a home exercise program. There is little information on improved function. The American Academy of Neurology recently concluded that epidural steroid injections may lead to an improvement in radicular lumbosacral pain between 2 and 6 weeks following the injection, but they do not affect impairment of function or the need for surgery and do not provide long-term pain relief beyond 3 months, and there is insufficient evidence to make any recommendation for the use of epidural steroid injections to treat radicular cervical pain. Criteria for the use of Epidural steroid injections: Note: The purpose of ESI is to reduce pain and inflammation, thereby facilitating progress in more active treatment programs, and avoiding surgery, but this treatment alone offers no significant long-term functional benefit. 1) Radiculopathy must be

documented by physical examination and corroborated by imaging studies and/or electrodiagnostic testing. 2) Initially unresponsive to conservative treatment (exercises, physical methods, NSAIDs and muscle relaxants). 3) Injections should be performed using fluoroscopy (live x-ray) for guidance. 4) If used for diagnostic purposes, a maximum of two injections should be performed. A second block is not recommended if there is inadequate response to the first block. Diagnostic blocks should be at an interval of at least one to two weeks between injections. 5) No more than two nerve root levels should be injected using transforaminal blocks. 6) No more than one interlaminar level should be injected at one session. 7) In the therapeutic phase, repeat blocks should be based on continued objective documented pain and functional improvement, including at least 50% pain relief with associated reduction of medication use for six to eight weeks, with a general recommendation of no more than 4 blocks per region per year. 8) Current research does not support a "series-of-three" injections in either the diagnostic or therapeutic phase. We recommend no more than 2 ESI injections. 9) Epidural steroid injection is not to be performed on the same day as trigger point injection, sacroiliac joint injection, facet joint injection or medial branch block. In this case the patient has had prior treatment with epidural steroid injections. The last injection was in July 2015 and was documented as decreasing relief. There is no documentation that the patient had decreased medication use for six to eight weeks. Criteria for epidural steroid injections have not been met. The request should not be authorized. Therefore, the requested treatment is not medically necessary.