

Case Number:	CM15-0221342		
Date Assigned:	11/16/2015	Date of Injury:	02/21/2014
Decision Date:	12/30/2015	UR Denial Date:	10/27/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	11/10/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:
 State(s) of Licensure: California, District of Columbia, Maryland
 Certification(s)/Specialty: Anesthesiology, Pain Management

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 56 year old female who sustained an industrial injury on 2-21-14. The injured worker reported right upper extremity discomfort. A review of the medical records indicates that the injured worker is undergoing treatments for early complex regional pain syndrome right upper extremity and bicipital tenosynovitis. Provider documentation dated 9-10-15 noted the work status as temporary totally disabled. Treatment has included Percocet since at least August of 2015, radiographic studies, status post right shoulder arthroscopy (8-17-15), magnetic resonance imaging, bracing and sling, physical therapy, and activity modification. Objective findings dated 10-9-15 were notable for right upper extremity hypesthesia, "difficulty with elevating the arms, substantial pain when attempting shoulder elevation...has some difficulty even bending her finger." The original utilization review (10-27-15) denied a request for 1 C-reactive Protein (CRP), 1 Complete blood count and 1 Erythrocyte Sedimentation Rate (ESR).

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

1 C-reactive Protein (CRP): Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Low Back, Preoperative Lab Testing.

Decision rationale: Per ODG TWC, "preoperative lab testing should be guided by the patient's clinical history, comorbidities, and physical examination findings. Preoperative routine tests are appropriate if patients with abnormal tests will have a preoperative modified approach (i.e., new tests ordered, referral to a specialist or surgery postponement). Testing should generally be done to confirm a clinical impression, and tests should affect the course of treatment." Criteria for Preoperative lab testing: Preoperative urinalysis is recommended for patients undergoing invasive urologic procedures and those undergoing implantation of foreign material. Electrolyte and creatinine testing should be performed in patients with underlying chronic disease and those taking medications that predispose them to electrolyte abnormalities or renal failure. Random glucose testing should be performed in patients at high risk of undiagnosed diabetes mellitus. In patients with diagnosed diabetes, A1C testing is recommended only if the result would change perioperative management. A complete blood count is indicated for patients with diseases that increase the risk of anemia or patients in whom significant perioperative blood loss is anticipated. Coagulation studies are reserved for patients with a history of bleeding or medical conditions that predispose them to bleeding, and for those taking anticoagulants. The documentation provided for review does not indicate that the injured worker has any comorbidity that necessitates preoperative labs. It is probable that the provider is trying to rule out infection, however, as this is not stated, the request is not medically necessary.

1 Complete blood count: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Low Back, Preoperative Lab Testing.

Decision rationale: Per ODG TWC, "preoperative lab testing should be guided by the patient's clinical history, comorbidities, and physical examination findings. Preoperative routine tests are appropriate if patients with abnormal tests will have a preoperative modified approach (i.e., new tests ordered, referral to a specialist or surgery postponement). Testing should generally be done to confirm a clinical impression, and tests should affect the course of treatment." Criteria for Preoperative lab testing: Preoperative urinalysis is recommended for patients undergoing invasive urologic procedures and those undergoing implantation of foreign material. Electrolyte and creatinine testing should be performed in patients with underlying chronic disease and those taking medications that predispose them to electrolyte abnormalities or renal failure. Random glucose testing should be performed in patients at high risk of undiagnosed diabetes mellitus. In patients with diagnosed diabetes, A1C testing is recommended only if the result would change

perioperative management. A complete blood count is indicated for patients with diseases that increase the risk of anemia or patients in whom significant perioperative blood loss is anticipated. Coagulation studies are reserved for patients with a history of bleeding or medical conditions that predispose them to bleeding, and for those taking anticoagulants. The documentation provided for review does not indicate that the injured worker has any comorbidity that necessitates preoperative labs. It is probable that the provider is trying to rule out infection, however, as this is not stated, the request is not medically necessary.

1 Erythrocyte Sedimentation Rate (ESR): Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Low Back, Preoperative Lab Testing.

Decision rationale: Per ODG TWC, "preoperative lab testing should be guided by the patient's clinical history, comorbidities, and physical examination findings. Preoperative routine tests are appropriate if patients with abnormal tests will have a preoperative modified approach (i.e., new tests ordered, referral to a specialist or surgery postponement). Testing should generally be done to confirm a clinical impression, and tests should affect the course of treatment." Criteria for Preoperative lab testing: Preoperative urinalysis is recommended for patients undergoing invasive urologic procedures and those undergoing implantation of foreign material. Electrolyte and creatinine testing should be performed in patients with underlying chronic disease and those taking medications that predispose them to electrolyte abnormalities or renal failure. Random glucose testing should be performed in patients at high risk of undiagnosed diabetes mellitus. In patients with diagnosed diabetes, A1C testing is recommended only if the result would change perioperative management. A complete blood count is indicated for patients with diseases that increase the risk of anemia or patients in whom significant perioperative blood loss is anticipated. Coagulation studies are reserved for patients with a history of bleeding or medical conditions that predispose them to bleeding, and for those taking anticoagulants. The documentation provided for review does not indicate that the injured worker has any comorbidity that necessitates preoperative labs. It is probable that the provider is trying to rule out infection, however, as this is not stated, the request is not medically necessary.