

Case Number:	CM15-0219499		
Date Assigned:	11/12/2015	Date of Injury:	10/25/2013
Decision Date:	12/29/2015	UR Denial Date:	10/15/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	11/09/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:
 State(s) of Licensure: California, District of Columbia, Maryland
 Certification(s)/Specialty: Anesthesiology, Pain Management

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 65 year old male, who sustained an industrial injury on 10-25-13. The injured worker was diagnosed as having cervical disc disorder with myelopathy, thoracic intervertebral disc displacement, lumbar intervertebral disc disorder with myelopathy, and shoulder rotator cuff tear or rupture. Treatment to date has included medication such as Flurbiprofen 20%-Baclofen 2%, Dexamethasone 2%, Menthol 2%, Camphor 2%, Capsaicin 0.0375%, Hyaluronic acid 0.2%. Physical exam findings on 10-1-15 included tenderness in the cervical spine, upper thoracic spine, lumbar spine, bilateral sacroiliac joints, buttocks, and bilateral shoulders. The injured worker had been using Flurbiprofen 20%-Baclofen 2%, Dexamethasone 2%, Menthol 2%, Camphor 2%, Capsaicin 0.0375%, Hyaluronic acid 0.2% in 180g cream since May 2015. On 7-6-15, pain was rated as 6 of 10. On 10-1-15, the injured worker complained of pain in the lumbar spine, bilateral sacroiliac joints, sacral area, bilateral posterior wrists, and bilateral shoulders rated as 5 of 10. The treating physician requested authorization for Flurbiprofen 20%-Baclofen 2%, Dexamethasone 2%, Menthol 2%, Camphor 2%, Capsaicin 0.0375%, Hyaluronic acid 0.2% in 180g. On 10-15-15 the request was non-certified by utilization review.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Flurbiprofen 20%, Baclofen 2%, Dexamethasone 2%, Menthol 2%, Camphor 2%, Capsaicin 0.0375%, hyaluronic acid 0.20% in 180 grams: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009, Section(s): Topical Analgesics.

Decision rationale: Per MTUS with regard to Flurbiprofen (p112), "These medications may be useful for chronic musculoskeletal pain, but there are no long-term studies of their effectiveness or safety. (Mason, 2004) Indications: Osteoarthritis and tendinitis, in particular, that of the knee and elbow or other joints that are amenable to topical treatment: Recommended for short-term use (4-12 weeks). There is little evidence to utilize topical NSAIDs for treatment of osteoarthritis of the spine, hip or shoulder." Flurbiprofen may be indicated. Capsaicin may have an indication for chronic lower back pain in this context. Per MTUS p 112 "Indications: There are positive randomized studies with capsaicin cream in patients with osteoarthritis, fibromyalgia, and chronic non-specific back pain, but it should be considered experimental in very high doses. Although topical capsaicin has moderate to poor efficacy, it may be particularly useful (alone or in conjunction with other modalities) in patients whose pain has not been controlled successfully with conventional therapy." Per MTUS p113 with regard to topical Baclofen, "Baclofen: Not recommended. There is currently one Phase III study of Baclofen-Amitriptyline-Ketamine gel in cancer patients for treatment of chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy. There is no peer-reviewed literature to support the use of topical Baclofen. Other muscle relaxants: There is no evidence for use of any other muscle relaxant as a topical product." Baclofen is not indicated. The CA MTUS, ODG, National Guidelines Clearinghouse, and ACOEM provide no evidence-based recommendations regarding the topical application of menthol, camphor, or hyaluronic acid. It is the opinion of this IMR reviewer that a lack of endorsement, a lack of mention, inherently implies a lack of recommendation, or a status equivalent to "not recommended". Since multiple agents are not medically indicated, then the overall product is not indicated per MTUS as outlined below. Note the statement on page 111: Any compounded product that contains at least one drug (or drug class) that is not recommended is not recommended. Regarding the use of multiple medications, MTUS p60 states, "Only one medication should be given at a time, and interventions that are active and passive should remain unchanged at the time of the medication change. A trial should be given for each individual medication. Analgesic medications should show effects within 1 to 3 days, and the analgesic effect of antidepressants should occur within 1 week. A record of pain and function with the medication should be recorded. (Mens, 2005) The recent AHRQ review of comparative effectiveness and safety of analgesics for osteoarthritis concluded that each of the analgesics was associated with a unique set of benefits and risks, and no currently available analgesic was identified as offering a clear overall advantage compared with the others." Therefore, it would be optimal to trial each medication individually. The request is not medically necessary.