

Case Number:	CM15-0216540		
Date Assigned:	11/06/2015	Date of Injury:	03/05/2014
Decision Date:	12/18/2015	UR Denial Date:	10/27/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	11/03/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: California

Certification(s)/Specialty: Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 53 year old male who sustained an industrial injury 03-05-14. A review of the medical records reveals the injured worker is undergoing treatment for cervical radiculopathy-arthrosis, bilateral shoulder impingement, trapezial, paracervical, and parascapular strain; bilateral upper extremity overuse tendinitis, possible bilateral carpal tunnel syndrome, and intention tremor. Medical records (10-14-15) reveal the injured worker complains of ongoing pain in the neck and arm with intermittent numbness. The physical exam (10-14-15) reveals "slight" trapezial, paracervical, and parascapular tenderness, a "weakly" positive impingement sign at the bilateral shoulders. Prior treatment includes chiropractic treatments, shoulder injections, and physical therapy. The original utilization review (10-27-15) non certified the request for hand treatment to the neck, bilateral shoulders, and wrists for 12 sessions.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Hand therapy 2 times 6 weeks, neck, bilateral shoulders and wrists: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009, Section(s): Physical Medicine.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009, Section(s): Physical Medicine.

Decision rationale: Hand therapy is considered medically necessary when the services require the judgment, knowledge, and skills of a qualified occupational therapist due to the complexity and sophistication of the therapy and the physical condition of the patient. However, there is no clear measurable evidence of progress with the therapy treatment already rendered including milestones of increased ROM, strength, and functional capacity. Review of submitted physician reports show no evidence of functional benefit, unchanged chronic symptom complaints, clinical findings, and functional status. There is no evidence documenting functional baseline with clear goals to be reached and the patient striving to reach those goals. The Chronic Pain Guidelines allow for 9-10 visits of therapy with fading of treatment to an independent self-directed home program. It appears the employee has received significant therapy sessions without demonstrated evidence of functional improvement to allow for additional therapy treatments. There is no report of acute flare-up, new injuries, or change in symptom or clinical findings to support for formal therapy in a patient that has been instructed on a home exercise program for this chronic March 2014 injury. Submitted reports have not adequately demonstrated the indication to support further occupational therapy when prior treatment rendered has not resulted in any functional benefit. The Hand therapy 2 times 6 weeks, neck, bilateral shoulders and wrists is not medically necessary and appropriate.