

<b>Case Number:</b>	CM15-0210488		
<b>Date Assigned:</b>	10/29/2015	<b>Date of Injury:</b>	06/20/2014
<b>Decision Date:</b>	12/10/2015	<b>UR Denial Date:</b>	10/02/2015
<b>Priority:</b>	Standard	<b>Application Received:</b>	10/26/2015

### HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:  
 State(s) of Licensure: California, Oregon,  
 Washington Certification(s)/Specialty: Orthopedic  
 Surgery

### CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 48 year old, male who sustained a work related injury on 6-20-14. A review of the medical records shows he is being treated for low back pain. In the progress notes dated 8-19-15 and 9-9-15, the injured worker reports pain "is relieved when taking Ultram." He states the Norflex is "too strong." He reports feeling dizzy when he wakes up in the morning. He reports recurrence of left leg sharp, shooting pain for the past two weeks. He reports lumbar pain is intermittent. On physical exam dated 9-9-15, his hamstrings on both legs are very stiff. He has decreased passive hip flexion in both right and left. He has a positive left leg straight leg raise. He has tenderness in left paraspinal muscles. He is having lumbar myospasms. Treatments have included left L4-5 and L5-S1 transforaminal epidural steroid injections with fluoroscopy on 7-28-15, chiropractic treatments-some benefit, greater than 8 sessions of physical therapy- some helpful, and medications. There is no documentation on what the effectiveness of the lumbar epidural steroid injection dated 7-28-15 was in his pain relief. No details on pain relief obtained with other treatments. Current medications include Ultram and Norflex. He is temporarily totally disabled. The treatment plan includes requests for physical therapy, continue Ultram, discontinue Norflex and for authorization of epidural steroid injections via caudal catheter approach of left L4-5 and L5-S1. The Request for Authorization dated 9-9-15 has a request for an epidural steroid injection via caudal catheter approach at L4-5 and L5-S1. In the Utilization Review dated 10-2-15, the requested treatment of an epidural steroid injection via caudal catheter approach left L4-5 and L5-S1 is not medically necessary.

## IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

### **Epidural steroid injection via caudal catheter approach at L4-L5 and L5-S1: Upheld**

**Claims Administrator guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009, Section(s): Epidural steroid injections (ESIs).

**MAXIMUS guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009, Section(s): Epidural steroid injections (ESIs).

**Decision rationale:** According to the CA MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines, Epidural injections, page 46, recommended as an option for treatment of radicular pain (defined as pain in dermatomal distribution with corroborative findings of radiculopathy). Specifically the guidelines state that radiculopathy must be documented by physical examination and corroborated by imaging studies and/or electrodiagnostic testing. Research has now shown that, on average, less than two injections are required for a successful ESI outcome. Current recommendations suggest a second epidural injection if partial success is produced with the first injection, and a third ESI is rarely recommended. Epidural steroid injection can offer short term pain relief and use should be in conjunction with other rehab efforts, including continuing a home exercise program. The American Academy of Neurology recently concluded that epidural steroid injections may lead to an improvement in radicular lumbosacral pain between 2 and 6 weeks following the injection, but they do not affect impairment of function or the need for surgery and do not provide long-term pain relief beyond 3 months. In addition there must be demonstration of unresponsiveness to conservative treatment (exercises, physical methods, NSAIDs and muscle relaxants). CA MTUS criteria for epidural steroid injections are: Criteria for the use of Epidural steroid injections: Note: The purpose of ESI is to reduce pain and inflammation, restoring range of motion and thereby facilitating progress in more active treatment programs, and avoiding surgery, but this treatment alone offers no significant long-term functional benefit. 1) Radiculopathy must be documented by physical examination and corroborated by imaging studies and/or electrodiagnostic testing. 2) Initially unresponsive to conservative treatment (exercises, physical methods, NSAIDs and muscle relaxants). 3) Injections should be performed using fluoroscopy (live x-ray) for guidance. 4) If used for diagnostic purposes, a maximum of two injections should be performed. A second block is not recommended if there is inadequate response to the first block. Diagnostic blocks should be at an interval of at least one to two weeks between injections. 5) No more than two nerve root levels should be injected using transforaminal blocks. 6) No more than one interlaminar level should be injected at one session. 7) In the therapeutic phase, repeat blocks should be based on continued objective documented pain and functional improvement, including at least 50% pain relief with associated reduction of medication use for six to eight weeks, with a general recommendation of no more than 4 blocks per region per year. (Manchikanti, 2003) (CMS, 2004) (Boswell, 2007) 8) Current research does not support a series of three injections in either the diagnostic or therapeutic phase. We recommend no more than 2 ESI injections. In this case the exam notes from 9/9/15 do not demonstrate a failure of conservative management nor a clear evidence of a dermatomal distribution of radiculopathy. CA MTUS guidelines state that no more than one interlaminar level should be injected at one session. Per CA MTUS guidelines a second block is

not recommended if there is inadequate response to the first block, and there is no documentation on what the effectiveness of the lumbar epidural steroid injection dated 7-28-15 was with regard to his pain relief. Therefore the determination is for non-certification, not medically necessary.