

Case Number:	CM15-0029053		
Date Assigned:	02/23/2015	Date of Injury:	03/24/2002
Decision Date:	04/02/2015	UR Denial Date:	01/29/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	02/17/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: California

Certification(s)/Specialty: Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation, Pain Management

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 55 year old female, who sustained an industrial injury on 3/24/2002. She reports 2 trip and fall accidents with knee pain and low back pain. Diagnoses include headache, neck pain, fibromyalgia, low back pain, insomnia and depression. Treatments to date include acupuncture, physical therapy, aquatic therapy and medication management. Progress noted from the treating provider dated 1/9/2015 and 1/20/2015 indicates the injured worker reported headaches, neck pain, low back pain, insomnia and depression. On 1/29/2015, Utilization Review non-certified the request for gym membership to exercise in a warm pool, citing Official Disability Guidelines.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Gym Membership to exercise in warm pool: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines 8 C.C.R. 9792.20 - 9792.26 MTUS (Effective July 18, 2009) Page(s): 46-47 of 127. Decision based on

Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG), Low Back Chapter, Gym Memberships.

Decision rationale: Regarding request for gym membership, Chronic Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines state that exercise is recommended. They go on to state that there is no sufficient evidence to support the recommendation of any particular exercise regimen over any other exercise regimen. ODG states the gym memberships are not recommended as a medical prescription unless a documented home exercise program with periodic assessment and revision has not been effective and there is a need for equipment. Plus, treatment needs to be monitored and administered by medical professionals. With unsupervised programs there is no information flow back to the provider, so he or she can make changes in the prescription, and there may be a risk of further injury to the patient. Within the documentation available for review, there is no indication that the patient has failed a home exercise program with periodic assessment and revision. Additionally, there is no indication that the patient has been trained on the appropriate use of gym equipment and/or pool exercise, or that the physician is overseeing the gym exercise program. In the absence of such documentation, the currently requested gym membership is not medically necessary.