

Case Number:	CM15-0022632		
Date Assigned:	02/12/2015	Date of Injury:	07/26/2006
Decision Date:	03/26/2015	UR Denial Date:	01/22/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	02/06/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:
 State(s) of Licensure: California
 Certification(s)/Specialty: Emergency Medicine

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

This 53 year old male sustained an industrial injury on 7/26/06. He subsequently reports chronic low back pain. Treatment to date has included physical therapy, E-stim, chiropractic care, ultrasound, injections and prescription pain medications. On 1/22/15, Utilization Review non-certified a request for MRI of the lumbar spine. The MRI of the lumbar spine was denied based on MTUS, ACOEM guidelines.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

MRI of the lumbar spine: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 12 Low Back Complaints Page(s): table 12-8.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 12 Low Back Complaints Page(s): 303-305.

Decision rationale: The requested MRI of the lumbar spine is not medically necessary. CA MTUS, ACOEM 2nd Edition, 2004, Chapter 12, Lower Back Complaints, Special Studies and Diagnostic and Therapeutic Considerations, Pages 303-305, recommend imaging studies of the

lumbar spine with "Unequivocal objective findings that identify specific nerve compromise on the neurological examination are sufficient evidence to warrant imaging in patients who do not respond to treatment and who would consider surgery an option". The injured worker has low back pain. The treating physician has not documented a positive straight leg raising test, nor deficits in dermatomal sensation, reflexes or muscle strength. The criteria noted above not having been met, MRI of the lumbar spine is not medically necessary.