

<b>Case Number:</b>	CM15-0209263		
<b>Date Assigned:</b>	10/28/2015	<b>Date of Injury:</b>	12/03/2008
<b>Decision Date:</b>	12/08/2015	<b>UR Denial Date:</b>	10/14/2015
<b>Priority:</b>	Standard	<b>Application Received:</b>	10/23/2015

### HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:  
State(s) of Licensure: California, Oregon, Washington  
Certification(s)/Specialty: Orthopedic Surgery

### CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 54 year old female, who sustained an industrial injury on 12-3-08. The injured worker has complaints of back pain that radiates into her bilateral legs. The cervical spine is tender to palpation. There is pain noted with left lateral rotation, and neck flexion. Palpation of the lumbar facet reveals pain on both the side at L3-S1 (sacroiliac) region. There is pain noted with right lateral flexion. There is tenderness over lumbar facets. The diagnoses have included lumbago. Treatment to date has included norco; alprazolam; duexis; tizanidine; soma; vicodin; xanax and zoloft. The original utilization review (10-14-15) non-certified the request for alprazolam 2mg quantity 60; norco 7.5-325mg quantity 120 and duexis 800-26.69mg quantity 90.

### IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

**Alprazolam 2mg quantity 60:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009, Section(s): Benzodiazepines.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009, Section(s): Benzodiazepines.

**Decision rationale:** According to the CA Chronic Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines, page 24, Benzodiazepines, not recommended for long-term use because long-term efficacy is unproven and there is a risk of dependence. Most guidelines limit use to 4 weeks. Their range of action includes sedative/hypnotic, anxiolytic, anticonvulsant, and muscle relaxant. Chronic benzodiazepines are the treatment of choice in very few conditions. Tolerance to hypnotic effects develops rapidly. Tolerance to anxiolytic effects occurs within months and long-term use may actually increase anxiety. A more appropriate treatment for anxiety disorder is an antidepressant. Tolerance to anticonvulsant and muscle relaxant effects occurs within weeks. Therefore the request for Xanax is not medically necessary and is not medically necessary.

**Norco 7.5/325mg quantity 120:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009, Section(s): Opioids, criteria for use.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009, Section(s): Opioids, criteria for use, Opioids, specific drug list. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Pain / Opioids for chronic pain.

**Decision rationale:** According to the CA MTUS/Chronic Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines, opioids (criteria for use & specific drug list): A therapeutic trial of opioids should not be employed until the patient has failed a trial of non-opioid analgesics. The patient should have at least one physical and psychosocial assessment by the treating doctor (and a possible second opinion by a specialist) to assess whether a trial of opioids should occur. Before initiating therapy, the patient should set goals, and the continued use of opioids should be contingent on meeting these goals. Guidelines recommend ongoing review and documentation of pain relief, functional status, appropriate medication use, and side effects. Pain assessment should include: current pain; the least reported pain over the period since last assessment; average pain; intensity of pain after taking the opioid; how long it takes for pain relief; and how long pain relief lasts. Satisfactory response to treatment may be indicated by the patient's decreased pain, increased level of function, or improved quality of life. The 4 A's for Ongoing Monitoring include analgesia, activities of daily living, adverse side effects, and aberrant drug-taking behaviors. Opioids may be continued if the patient has returned to work and the patient has improved function/pain. The ODG-TWC pain section comments specifically on criteria for the use of drug screening for ongoing opioid treatment. The ODG Pain / Opioids for chronic pain states "According to a major NIH systematic review, there is insufficient evidence to support the effectiveness of long-term opioid therapy for improving chronic pain, but emerging data support a dose-dependent risk for serious harms." Based upon the records reviewed there is insufficient evidence to support chronic use of narcotics. There is lack of demonstrated functional improvement, percentage of relief, demonstration of urine toxicology compliance, return to work, or increase in activity from the exam notes provided. Therefore the request is not medically necessary.

**Duexis 800/26.69mg quantity 90:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009, Section(s): NSAIDs, GI symptoms & cardiovascular risk. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines, Pain, Duexis.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Pain / Duexis® (ibuprofen & famotidine).

**Decision rationale:** "Not recommended as a first-line drug. Horizon Pharma recently announced the launch of Duexis, a combination of ibuprofen 800 mg and famotidine 26.6 mg, indicated for rheumatoid arthritis and osteoarthritis. (FDA, 2012) Ibuprofen (eg, Motrin, Advil) and famotidine (eg, Pepcid) are also available in multiple strengths OTC, and other strategies are recommended to prevent stomach ulcers in patients taking NSAIDs. See NSAIDs, GI symptoms & cardiovascular risk, where Proton pump inhibitors (PPIs) are recommended. With less benefit and higher cost, using Duexis as a first-line therapy is not justified." In this case there is no evidence of failure of first-line therapy and thus the recommendation is not medically necessary.