

<b>Case Number:</b>	CM15-0208335		
<b>Date Assigned:</b>	10/27/2015	<b>Date of Injury:</b>	01/08/2009
<b>Decision Date:</b>	12/09/2015	<b>UR Denial Date:</b>	09/24/2015
<b>Priority:</b>	Standard	<b>Application Received:</b>	10/22/2015

### HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: California

Certification(s)/Specialty: Preventive Medicine, Occupational Medicine

### CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 43 year old male, who sustained an industrial injury on 1-8-2009. Medical records indicate the worker is undergoing treatment for lumbar degenerative disc disease, lumbar disc protrusion, lumbar radiculopathy and lumbar sprain-strain. A recent progress report dated 9-9-2015, reported the injured worker complained of severe low back pain with stiffness and cramping rated 8 out of 10. Physical examination revealed decreased abdominal painful range of motion with spasm and tenderness to palpation. Treatment to date has included physical therapy and Norco (since at least 8-12-2015). The physician is requesting Norco 10-325mg #120. On 9-24-2015, the Utilization Review non-certified the request for Norco 10-325mg #120.

### IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

**Norco 10/325mg #120:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009, Section(s): Opioids, criteria for use.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009, Section(s): Opioids for chronic pain, Weaning of Medications.

**Decision rationale:** The MTUS Guidelines do not recommend the use of opioid pain medications, in general, for the management of chronic pain. There is guidance for the rare instance where opioids are needed in maintenance therapy, but the emphasis should remain on non-opioid pain medications and active therapy. Long-term use may be appropriate if the patient is showing measurable functional improvement and reduction in pain in the absence of non-compliance. Functional improvement is defined by either significant improvement in activities of daily living or a reduction in work restriction as measured during the history and physical exam. In this case, the injured worker has been prescribed Norco for an extended period without objective documentation of significant pain relief or functional improvement, It is not recommended to discontinue opioid treatment abruptly, as weaning of medications is necessary to avoid withdrawal symptoms when opioids have been used chronically. This request however is not for a weaning treatment, but to continue treatment. The request for Norco 10/325mg #120 is not medically necessary.