

Case Number:	CM15-0205180		
Date Assigned:	10/22/2015	Date of Injury:	06/26/2014
Decision Date:	12/03/2015	UR Denial Date:	10/08/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	10/19/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: Arizona, California

Certification(s)/Specialty: Family Practice

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 44 year old male with an industrial injury date of 06-26-2014. Medical record review indicates he is being treated for lumbar spine disc bulges. The injured worker presented on 09-15-2015 with complaints of low back pain. Objective findings (09-15-2015) are documented as right mid anterior thigh, right lateral calf and right lateral ankle sensation to light touch was intact. Work status was documented as off work for 6 weeks. Medical record review does not indicate prior treatments. On 10-08-2015 the request for a TENS unit was non-certified by utilization review.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

TENS unit: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009, Section(s): Transcutaneous electrotherapy.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009, Section(s): Transcutaneous electrotherapy.

Decision rationale: According to the MTUS guidelines, a TENS unit is not recommended as a primary treatment modality, but a one-month home-based TENS trial may be considered as a noninvasive conservative option. It is recommended for the following diagnoses: CRPS, multiple sclerosis, spasticity due to spinal cord injury and neuropathic pain due to diabetes or herpes. In this case, the claimant did not have the above diagnoses. The length of use was not specified. The request for a TENS unit is not medically necessary.