

Case Number:	CM15-0204865		
Date Assigned:	10/21/2015	Date of Injury:	10/30/2014
Decision Date:	12/03/2015	UR Denial Date:	10/08/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	10/19/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:
 State(s) of Licensure: California, Oregon, Washington
 Certification(s)/Specialty: Orthopedic Surgery

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

This 38 year old woman sustained an industrial injury on 10-30-2014. Diagnoses include chronic right shoulder impingement syndrome. Treatment has included oral medications. Physician notes dated 9-10-2015 show complaints of severe right shoulder pain and posterior cervical spine pain. The physical examination shows tenderness to palpation of the posterior cervical spine with swelling of the posterior trapezius muscle. "Limited" range of motion is noted to the right shoulder without measurements and with positive impingement signs. A Depo-Medrol injection was administered to the right subacromial space during this visit. Recommendations include Tramadol, Anaprox, and extracorporeal shockwave therapy. Utilization review denied requests for Tramadol and Anaprox on 9-10-2015.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Retro Anaprox 550mg #90: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009, Section(s): NSAIDs (non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs), NSAIDs, specific drug list & adverse effects.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009, Section(s): NSAIDs (non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs), NSAIDs, specific drug list & adverse effects.

Decision rationale: Per the CA MTUS/Chronic Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines, page 66 states that Naproxen is a non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug (NSAID) for the relief of the signs and symptoms of osteoarthritis. It is used as first line treatment but long-term use is not warranted. In this case the continued use of Naproxen is not warranted, as there is no demonstration of functional improvement from the exam note from 9/10/15. Therefore determination is non-certification. Therefore, the requested treatment is not medically necessary.

Retro Tramadol 150mg #30: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009, Section(s): Opioids, criteria for use, Opioids, specific drug list, Weaning of Medications.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009, Section(s): Opioids, specific drug list.

Decision rationale: Per the CA MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines pages 93-94, opioids specific drug list, Tramadol is a synthetic opioid affecting the central nervous system. Tramadol is indicated for moderate to severe pain. Tramadol (Ultram) is a centrally acting synthetic opioid analgesic and it is not recommended as a first-line oral analgesic. Tramadol is considered a second line agent when first line agents such as NSAIDs fail. The guidelines advise against prescription to patients that at risk for suicide or addiction. A recent Cochrane review found that this drug decreased pain intensity, produced symptom relief and improved function for a time period of up to three months but the benefits were small (a 12% decrease in pain intensity from baseline). Adverse events often caused study participants to discontinue this medication, and could limit usefulness. There are no long-term studies to allow for recommendations for longer than three months. (Cepeda, 2006) Similar findings were found in an evaluation of a formulation that combines immediate-release vs. extended release Tramadol. Adverse effects included nausea, constipation, dizziness/vertigo and somnolence. (Burch, 2007) Guidelines recommend ongoing review and documentation of pain relief, functional status, appropriate medication use, and side effects. Pain assessment should include: current pain; the least reported pain over the period since last assessment; average pain; intensity of pain after taking the opioid; how long it takes for pain relief; and how long pain relief lasts. Satisfactory response to treatment may be indicated by the patient's decreased pain, increased level of function, or improved quality of life. In this case there is insufficient evidence in the records of 9/10/15 of failure of primary over the counter non-steroids or moderate to severe pain to warrant Tramadol. Therefore use of Tramadol is not medically necessary and it is noncertified.