

Case Number:	CM15-0201122		
Date Assigned:	10/16/2015	Date of Injury:	10/25/1991
Decision Date:	11/24/2015	UR Denial Date:	09/15/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	10/13/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:
 State(s) of Licensure: California, Oregon, Washington
 Certification(s)/Specialty: Orthopedic Surgery

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 68 year old male, who sustained an industrial injury on 10-25-1991. Medical records indicate the worker is undergoing treatment for lumbar post laminectomy syndrome, lumbar disc displacement with radiculitis and chronic pain syndrome. A recent progress report dated 9-8-2015, reported the injured worker complained of constant bilateral low back pain with radiation to the left lower extremity with tingling and weakness. Physical examination revealed bilateral positive straight leg raise test, bilateral facet tenderness and painful and restricted spinal extension. Treatment to date has included epidural steroid injection, chiropractic care, lumbar spinal surgery, physical therapy and Tramadol since at least 5-6-2015. On 9-8-2015, the Request for Authorization requested Tramadol 50mg #60. On 9-15-2015, the Utilization Review noncertified the request for Tramadol 50mg #60.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Tramadol 50mg quantity 60: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009, Section(s): Weaning of Medications.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009, Section(s): Opioids, specific drug list.

Decision rationale: Per the CA MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines pages 93-94, specific drug list, Tramadol is a synthetic opioid affecting the central nervous system. Tramadol is indicated for moderate to severe pain. Tramadol is considered a second line agent when first line agents such as NSAIDs fail. There is insufficient evidence in the records of 9/8/15 of failure of primary over the counter non-steroids or moderate to severe pain to warrant Tramadol. Therefore use of Tramadol is not medically necessary and it is noncertified. A recent Cochrane review found that this drug decreased pain intensity, produced symptom relief and improved function for a time period of up to three months but the benefits were small (a 12% decrease in pain intensity from baseline). Adverse events often caused study participants to discontinue this medication, and could limit usefulness. There are no long-term studies to allow for recommendations for longer than three months. (Cepeda, 2006) Similar findings were found in an evaluation of a formulation that combines immediate-release vs. extended release Tramadol. Adverse effects included nausea, constipation, dizziness/vertigo and somnolence. (Burch, 2007) Guidelines recommend ongoing review and documentation of pain relief, functional status, appropriate medication use, and side effects. Pain assessment should include: current pain; the least reported pain over the period since last assessment; average pain; intensity of pain after taking the opioid; how long it takes for pain relief; and how long pain relief lasts. Satisfactory response to treatment may be indicated by the patient's decreased pain, increased level of function, or improved quality of life.