

<b>Case Number:</b>	CM15-0200533		
<b>Date Assigned:</b>	10/15/2015	<b>Date of Injury:</b>	06/07/2004
<b>Decision Date:</b>	11/24/2015	<b>UR Denial Date:</b>	10/02/2015
<b>Priority:</b>	Standard	<b>Application Received:</b>	10/13/2015

### HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: North Carolina

Certification(s)/Specialty: Family Practice

### CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 41 year old female who sustained an industrial injury on 6-7-04. The injured worker reported bilateral shoulder discomfort. A review of the medical records indicates that the injured worker is undergoing treatments for left shoulder strain sprain. Medical records dated 7-9-15 indicate "radicular pain down the right upper extremity with weakness and numbness." Provider documentation dated 7-9-15 noted the work status as modified work duties. Treatment has included status post right shoulder surgery, transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation unit, acupuncture treatment and left upper extremity magnetic resonance imaging (12-20-12). Objective findings dated 7-9-15 were notable for right shoulder with "tenderness to palpation of the anterior shoulder and posterior shoulder." The original utilization review (10-2-15) denied a request for Acupuncture and Chiro.

### IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

**Acupuncture:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Acupuncture Treatment 2007.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Acupuncture Treatment 2007.

**Decision rationale:** The California chronic pain medical treatment guidelines section on acupuncture states: Acupuncture is used as an option when pain medication is reduced or not tolerated, it may be used as an adjunct to physical rehabilitation and/or surgical intervention to hasten functional recovery. It is the insertion and removal of filiform needles to stimulate acupoints (acupuncture points). Needles may be inserted, manipulated, and retained for a period of time. Acupuncture can be used to reduce pain, reduce inflammation, increase blood flow, increase range of motion, decrease the side effect of medication-induced nausea, promote relaxation in an anxious patient, and reduce muscle spasm. Time to produce functional improvement is 3-6 treatments and frequency is 1-3 times per week. The requested amount of session is not specified and thus this is in excess of the recommendation unless improvement is noted by 3-6 sessions. Therefore the request is not medically necessary.

**Chiro:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009, Section(s): Manual therapy & manipulation.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009, Section(s): Manual therapy & manipulation.

**Decision rationale:** The California chronic pain medical guidelines section on manual manipulation states: Recommended for chronic pain if caused by musculoskeletal conditions. Manual Therapy is widely used in the treatment of musculoskeletal pain. The intended goal or effect of Manual Medicine is the achievement of positive symptomatic or objective measurable gains in functional improvement that facilitate progression in the patient's therapeutic exercise program and return to productive activities. Manipulation is manual therapy that moves a joint beyond the physiologic range-of-motion but not beyond the anatomic range-of-motion. Low back: Recommended as an option. Therapeutic care - Trial of 6 visits over 2 weeks, with evidence of objective functional improvement, total of up to 18 visits over 6-8 weeks. Elective/maintenance care - Not medically necessary. Recurrences/flare-ups - Need to reevaluate treatment success, if RTW achieved then 1-2 visits every 4-6 months. Ankle & Foot: Not recommended. Carpal tunnel syndrome: Not recommended. Forearm, Wrist, & Hand: Not recommended. Knee: Not recommended. Treatment Parameters from state guidelines. Time to produce effect: 4 to 6 treatments. Manual manipulation is recommended form of treatment for chronic pain. However the requested amount of therapy sessions is in excess of the recommendations per the California MTUS. The California MTUS states there should be not more than 6 visits over 2 weeks and documented evidence of functional improvement before continuation of therapy. The request does not specify an amount of sessions. This does not meet criteria guidelines and thus is not medically necessary.