

Case Number:	CM15-0200225		
Date Assigned:	10/19/2015	Date of Injury:	07/23/2007
Decision Date:	11/25/2015	UR Denial Date:	09/14/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	10/13/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:
 State(s) of Licensure: California, Oregon, Washington
 Certification(s)/Specialty: Orthopedic Surgery

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 52 year old female, who sustained an industrial injury on 7-23-07. The injured worker is being treated for history of right L4-5 decompression discectomy, unstable degenerative spondylolisthesis at L4-5 and right lumbosacral radiculopathy. On 8-6-15, the injured worker complains of low back pain with radiation down the right leg. She rates the pain 8 out of 10 and it is constant. Documentation did not include level of pain prior to or following medications or duration of pain relief. She is currently working with restrictions. Physical exam performed on 8-6-15 revealed healed lumbar incision, no gait disturbance, painful range of motion of lumbar spine and straight leg raise is negative. (MRI) magnetic resonance imaging of lumbar spine performed on 10-31-14 revealed minimal anterolisthesis, significant facet arthropathy at L4-5 and foraminal narrowing is noted. Treatment to date has included radiofrequency neurotomy, physical therapy, chiropractic treatment, home exercise program, lumbar laminectomy, epidural steroid injections, trigger point injections, oral medications including Percocet, Flexeril, Advil and Tylenol (it is unclear how long she has utilized medications); pain patches and activity modifications. Results of urine toxicology screening were not included for review. On 9-14-15 request for Percocet 10-325mg #90 and Flexeril 10mg #60 was non-certified by utilization review.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Percocet 10/325mg #90: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009, Section(s): Opioids (Classification).

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009, Section(s): Opioids, criteria for use. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Pain / Opioids for chronic pain.

Decision rationale: According to the CA MTUS/Chronic Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines, opioids (criteria for use): A therapeutic trial of opioids should not be employed until the patient has failed a trial of non-opioid analgesics. The patient should have at least one physical and psychosocial assessment by the treating doctor (and a possible second opinion by a specialist) to assess whether a trial of opioids should occur. Before initiating therapy, the patient should set goals, and the continued use of opioids should be contingent on meeting these goals. Guidelines recommend ongoing review and documentation of pain relief, functional status, appropriate medication use, and side effects. Pain assessment should include: current pain; the least reported pain over the period since last assessment; average pain; intensity of pain after taking the opioid; how long it takes for pain relief; and how long pain relief lasts. Satisfactory response to treatment may be indicated by the patient's decreased pain, increased level of function, or improved quality of life. The 4 A's for Ongoing Monitoring include analgesia, activities of daily living, adverse side effects, and aberrant drug- taking behaviors. Opioids may be continued if the patient has returned to work and the patient has improved function/pain. The ODG-TWC pain section comments specifically on criteria for the use of drug screening for ongoing opioid treatment. The ODG (Pain / Opioids for chronic pain) states "According to a major NIH systematic review, there is insufficient evidence to support the effectiveness of long-term opioid therapy for improving chronic pain, but emerging data support a dose-dependent risk for serious harms." Based upon the records reviewed there is insufficient evidence to support chronic use of narcotics. There is lack of demonstrated functional improvement, percentage of relief, demonstration of urine toxicology compliance, return to work, or increase in activity from the exam note of 8/6/15. Therefore the determination is not medically necessary.

Flexeril 10mg #60: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009, Section(s): Muscle relaxants (for pain).

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009, Section(s): Cyclobenzaprine (Flexeril), Muscle relaxants (for pain).

Decision rationale: According to the CA MTUS, Chronic Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines, Cyclobenzaprine, pages 41-42 "Recommended as an option, using a short course of therapy. Cyclobenzaprine (Flexeril) is more effective than placebo in the management of back pain; the effect is modest and comes at the price of greater adverse effects. The effect is greatest in the first 4 days of treatment, suggesting that shorter courses may be better. (Browning, 2001)

Treatment should be brief. There is also a post-op use. The addition of cyclobenzaprine to other agents is not recommended." CA MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines, pages 64-65, reports that muscle relaxants are recommended to decrease muscle spasm in condition such as low back pain although it appears that these medications are often used for the treatment of musculoskeletal conditions whether spasm is present or not. The mechanism of action for most of these agents is not known. CA MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines, page 41 and 42, report that Cyclobenzaprine is recommended as an option, using a short course of therapy. See Medications for chronic pain for other preferred options. Cyclobenzaprine (Flexeril) is more effective than placebo in the management of back pain; the effect is modest and comes at the price of greater adverse effects. The effect is greatest in the first 4 days of treatment, suggesting that shorter courses may be better. (Browning, 2001) Treatment should be brief. This medication is not recommended to be used for longer than 2-3 weeks and is typically used postoperatively. The addition of cyclobenzaprine to other agents is not recommended. In this case there is no evidence of muscle spasms on review of the medical records from 8/6/15. There is no evidence of functional improvement, a quantitative assessment on how this medication helps percentage of relief lasts, increase in function, or increase in activity. Therefore chronic usage is not supported by the guidelines. There is no indication for the prolonged use of a muscle relaxant. Thus the recommendation is not medically necessary.