

Case Number:	CM15-0200210		
Date Assigned:	10/20/2015	Date of Injury:	07/05/2011
Decision Date:	12/02/2015	UR Denial Date:	09/14/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	10/13/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:
State(s) of Licensure: New York, Montana,
California Certification(s)/Specialty: Neurological
Surgery

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 43 year old male, who sustained an industrial injury on 7-5-11. The injured worker has complaints of low back pain. Spinal examination shows pain with extension and rotation with 1+ pulses. Paraspinal spasm is present there and good range of motion of the hips, knees and ankles and decreased range of motion. He has decreased sensation and weakness of the quadriceps and weakness in the tibialis anterior. The diagnoses have included disc degeneration lumbar spine, facet arthropathy, and evidence of instability at 4 to 5. Treatment to date has included physical therapy; aquatic therapy; chiropractic treatment and acupuncture; activity modifications; narcotic medications and anti-inflammatories. The original utilization review (9-14-15) non-certified the request for anterior and posterior lumbar interbody fusion at L4-5, possible L5; three day inpatient hospital stay; assistant surgeon; preoperative electrocardiogram; preoperative medical clearance and labs; preoperative chest-X-ray; associated surgical service LSO back area, walker, commode and bone growth stimulator; postoperative outpatient physical therapy two times a week for four weeks for the lumbar spine; Associated Surgical Service: home health care for would care three times a week for four weeks for the lumbar spine and postoperative in-home physical therapy two times a week for four weeks for the lumbar spine. Several documents within the submitted medical records are difficult to decipher.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Anterior/posterior lumbar interbody fusion at L4-5, possible L5-1: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Low Back Complaints 2004. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG), Low Back Chapter, Fusion, Discectomy/laminectomy.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Low Back Complaints 2004, Section(s): Surgical Considerations.

Decision rationale: California MTUS guidelines do recommend spinal fusion for fracture, dislocation and instability. Documentation does not provide evidence of these conditions. The California MTUS guidelines do recommend lumbar surgery if there is clear clinical, electrophysiological and imaging evidence of specific nerve root or spinal cord level of impingement which would correlate with severe, persistent debilitating lower extremity pain unresponsive to conservative management. Documentation does not provide this evidence. His magnetic resonance imaging scan (MRI) showed no severe canal or foraminal stenosis or nerve root impingement. His provider recommended an anterior/posterior interbody lumbar arthrodesis to treat his disc degeneration and lumbago. Documentation does not present evidence of instability or radiculopathy. According to the Guidelines for the performance of fusion procedures for degenerative diseases of the lumbar spine, published by the joint section of the American Association of Neurological surgeons and Congress of Neurological surgeons in 2005 there was no convincing medical evidence to support the routine use of lumbar fusion at the time of primary lumbar disc excision. This recommendation was not changed in the update of 2014. The update did note that fusion might be an option if there is evidence of spinal instability, chronic low back pain and severe degenerative changes. Documentation does not show instability or severe degenerative changes. The requested treatment: Anterior/posterior lumbar interbody fusion at L4-5, possible L5-1 is not medically necessary and appropriate.

Preoperative medical clearance and labs: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

Decision rationale: Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.

Preoperative chest x-ray: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

Decision rationale: Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.

Preoperative EKG: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

Decision rationale: Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.

Three day inpatient hospital stay: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

Decision rationale: Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.

Assistant surgeon: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

Decision rationale: Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.

Associated Surgical Service: LSO back brace: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

Decision rationale: Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.

Associated Surgical Service: Walker: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

Decision rationale: Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.

Associated Surgical Service: Commode: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

Decision rationale: Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.

Associated Surgical Service: Bone growth stimulator: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

Decision rationale: Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.

Postoperative outpatient physical therapy two times a week for four weeks for the lumbar spine: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

Decision rationale: Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.

Associated Surgical Service: Home health care for wound care three times a week for four weeks for the lumbar spine: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

Decision rationale: Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.

Postoperative in-home physical therapy two times a week for four weeks for the lumbar spine: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

Decision rationale: Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.