

<b>Case Number:</b>	CM15-0199053		
<b>Date Assigned:</b>	10/14/2015	<b>Date of Injury:</b>	04/24/2015
<b>Decision Date:</b>	11/30/2015	<b>UR Denial Date:</b>	09/18/2015
<b>Priority:</b>	Standard	<b>Application Received:</b>	10/09/2015

### HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: Maryland, Texas, Virginia

Certification(s)/Specialty: Internal Medicine, Allergy and Immunology, Rheumatology

### CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 53 year old male, who sustained an industrial injury on 4-24-2015. Diagnoses include right wrist and hand strain, left wrist strain-sprain, crush injury, gastritis, right wrist tear, and right fifth finger trigger finger. Treatments to date include modified activity, splinting, physical therapy, acupuncture treatments, medication therapy, heating pain, TENS unit, and cortisone injection to the right hand. On 9-14-15, he complained of ongoing pain in the right wrist and stiffness of the right fifth finger. The records documented Lidopro cream, diclofenac Sodium ER, Omeprazole, and Gabapentin had been prescribed since at least 5-4-15. Medication efficacy was not documented on this date. The physical examination documented tenderness in left ulnar aspect of the wrist and left epicondyle area. It was noted he could not make a fist, trigger finger in right fifth finger. The plan of care included continuation of topical Lidopro cream to "help decrease oral medications secondary to gastritis." The appeal requested authorization for Lidopro compound cream, 121 grams. The Utilization Review dated 9-18-15, denied this request.

### IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

**Lidopro Compound Cream 121gm: Upheld**

**Claims Administrator guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009, Section(s): Topical Analgesics. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Pain, Compound creams.

**Decision rationale:** MTUS and ODG recommends usage of topical analgesics as an option, but also further details "primarily recommended for neuropathic pain when trials of antidepressants and anticonvulsants have failed." The medical documents do not indicate failure of antidepressants or anticonvulsants. MTUS states, "There is little to no research to support the use of many of these agents. Any compounded product that contains at least one drug (or drug class) that is not recommended is not recommended." LIDOPRO LOTION (NOT RECOMMENDED) Lidopro is a topical medication containing Lidocaine, Capsaicin, Menthol, and Methyl Salicylate. ODG recommends usage of topical analgesics as an option, but also further details "primarily recommended for neuropathic pain when trials of antidepressants and anticonvulsants have failed." The medical documents do not indicate failure of antidepressants or anticonvulsants. MTUS states, "There is little to no research to support the use of many of these agents. Any compounded product that contains at least one drug (or drug class) that is not recommended is not recommended." MTUS recommends topical capsaicin "only as an option in patients who have not responded or are intolerant to other treatments." There is no indication that the patient has failed oral medication or is intolerant to other treatments. Additionally, ODG states "Topical OTC pain relievers that contain menthol, methyl salicylate, or capsaicin, may in rare instances cause serious burns, a new alert from the FDA warns." ODG only comments on menthol in the context of cryotherapy for acute pain, but does state "Topical OTC pain relievers that contain menthol, methyl salicylate, or capsaicin, may in rare instances cause serious burns, a new alert from the FDA warns." MTUS states regarding topical Salicylate, "Recommended. Topical salicylate (e.g., Ben-Gay, methyl salicylate) is significantly better than placebo in chronic pain. (Mason-BMJ, 2004) See also Topical analgesics; & Topical analgesics, compounded." In this case, lidocaine is not supported for topical use per guidelines. As such, the request for lidopro compound cream 121gm is not medically necessary.