

Case Number:	CM15-0198291		
Date Assigned:	10/14/2015	Date of Injury:	07/03/2009
Decision Date:	12/01/2015	UR Denial Date:	09/15/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	10/08/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: Massachusetts

Certification(s)/Specialty: Anesthesiology, Pain Management

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

This 41 year old woman sustained an industrial injury on 7-3-2009. Evaluations include lumbar spine MRI dated 6-10-2010 and electromyogram dated 6-4-2010. Diagnoses include lumbar disc disorder, lumbar facet syndrome, lumbar radiculopathy, acupuncture, chiropractic care, TENS unit, and lumbar degenerative disc disease. Treatment has included oral and topical medications and lumbar transforaminal epidural steroid injection. Physician notes dated 9-2-2015 show complaints of low backache. The worker states her pain level has decreased since her last visit. She rates her pain 7 out of 10 without medications and 3 out of 10 with medications. The physical examination shows a normal gait without assistive devices, restricted range of motion to the lumbar spine noted as 90 degrees of flexion. Tenderness to palpation was noted to the paravertebral muscles, positive lumbar facet loading on the right side. Right hip range of motion is restricted with 20 degrees of flexion limited by pain with tenderness over the trochanter. Reflexes show a bilateral knee jerk of 3 out of 4. Recommendations include Norco and Ambien. Utilization Review denied a request for Ambien on 9-25-2015.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Ambien 5mg Qty: 30.00: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG), Pain (Chronic) - Zolpidem (Ambien).

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Pain, Chronic, and Ambien.

Decision rationale: Zolpidem is a prescription short-acting non-benzodiazepine hypnotic, which is approved for the short-term (usually two to six weeks) treatment of insomnia. Proper sleep hygiene is critical to the individual with chronic pain and often is hard to obtain. Various medications may provide short-term benefit. While sleeping pills, so-called minor tranquilizers, and anti-anxiety agents are commonly prescribed in chronic pain, pain specialists rarely, if ever, recommend them for long-term use. They can be habit-forming, and they may impair function and memory more than opioid pain relievers. There is also concern that they may increase pain and depression over the long-term. (Feinberg, 2008) See Insomnia treatment. Ambien CR offers no significant clinical advantage over regular release zolpidem. Ambien CR is approved for chronic use, but chronic use of hypnotics in general is discouraged, as outlined in Insomnia treatment. Ambien CR causes a greater frequency of dizziness, drowsiness, and headache compared to immediate release zolpidem. (Ambien & Ambien CR package insert) Cognitive behavioral therapy (CBT) should be an important part of an insomnia treatment plan. A study of injured workers with persistent insomnia found that the addition of zolpidem immediate release to CBT was modestly beneficial during acute (first 6 weeks) therapy, but better long-term outcomes were achieved when zolpidem IR was discontinued and maintenance CBT continued. (Morin, 2009) Due to adverse effects, FDA now requires lower doses for zolpidem. The dose of zolpidem for women should be lowered from 10 mg to 5 mg for IR products (Ambien, Edluar, Zolpimist, and generic) and from 12.5 mg to 6.25 mg for ER products (Ambien CR). The ER product is still more risky than IR. In laboratory studies, 15% of women and 3% of men who took a 10-milligram dose of Ambien had potentially dangerous concentrations of the drug in their blood eight hours later. Among those who took Ambien CR, the problem was more common: 33% of women and 25% of men had blood concentrations that would raise the risk of a motor vehicle accident eight hours later. Even at the lower dose of Ambien CR now recommended by the FDA, 15% of women and 5% of men still had high levels of the drug in their system in the morning. (FDA, 2013) According to SAMHSA, zolpidem is linked to a sharp increase in ED visits, so it should be used safely for only a short period of time. According to the documents available for review, the injured worker does not carry diagnoses of insomnia. Furthermore the injured worker has been using this medication for long-term treatment. Therefore, at this time, the requirements for treatment have not been met and medical necessity has not been established.