

Case Number:	CM15-0198169		
Date Assigned:	10/13/2015	Date of Injury:	05/13/1998
Decision Date:	12/17/2015	UR Denial Date:	09/10/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	10/08/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: California

Certification(s)/Specialty: Emergency Medicine

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

This is a 73 year old male with a date of injury of May 13, 1998. A review of the medical records indicates that the injured worker is undergoing treatment for chronic back pain and failure of spinal fusion hardware. Medical records dated March 27, 2015 indicate that the injured worker complained of lower back pain rated at a level of 10 out of 10. A progress note dated August 13, 2015 documented complaints of back pain rated at a level of 7 out of 10 that radiates down the bilateral thighs, and pain that is reduced to a level of 5 out of 10 with medications. The physical exam dated March 27, 2015 reveals stocking glove numbness of the bilateral feet, chronic right foot weakness, left ankle bracing, use of two canes, tenderness of the lumbar spine, and tenderness of the right hip and thigh. The progress note dated August 13, 2015 documented a physical examination that showed tenderness of the lumbar spine, decreased range of motion of the lumbar spine, palpable hardware defect at the bottom of the spine fusion, numbness of the medial and ulnar nerve in the left hand, and use of forearm crutches. Treatment has included lumbar spine fusion and medications (Gabapentin 300mg three times a day, Hydrocodone-Acetaminophen 10-325mg four times a day as needed, Oxycodone-Acetaminophen 7.5-325mg every four hours as needed, and Zolpidem 10mg at bedtime since at least March of 2015; Methadone 10mg three times a day noted in August of 2015). The original utilization review (September 10, 2015) non-certified a request for Percocet 7.5-325mg #60, and partially certified a request for Hydrocodone-Acetaminophen 10-325mg #60 to allow for weaning (original request for #90), Methadone 10mg #60 to allow for weaning (original request for #180), Gabapentin 300mg #60 to allow for weaning (original request for #90), and Zolpidem 10mg #30 to allow for weaning (original request for #90).

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Gabapentin 300 mg #90: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009, Section(s): Antiepilepsy drugs (AEDs).

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009, Section(s): Antiepilepsy drugs (AEDs).

Decision rationale: The request is for the use of a medication in the category of an anti-epileptic drug (AED). These medications are recommended for certain types of neuropathic pain. Most of the randomized clinical control trials involved include post-herpetic neuralgia and painful polyneuropathy such as in diabetes. There are few trials which have studied central pain or radiculopathy. The MTUS guidelines state that a good response to treatment is 50% reduction in pain. At least a 30% reduction in pain is required for ongoing use, and if this is not seen, this should trigger a change in therapy. There also should be documentation of functional improvement and side effects incurred with use. Disease states which prompt use of these medications include post-herpetic neuralgia, spinal cord injury, chronic regional pain syndrome, lumbar spinal stenosis, post-operative pain, and central pain. There is inadequate evidence to support use in non-specific axial low back pain or myofascial pain. In this case, there is lack of documentation of functional improvement or screening measures as required. As such, the request is not medically necessary.

Hydrocodone/Acetaminophen 10/325 Mg #90: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009, Section(s): Opioids, criteria for use.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009, Section(s): Opioids, criteria for use.

Decision rationale: The request is for the use of a medication in the opioid class. The MTUS guidelines state that for ongoing treatment with a pharmaceutical in this class, certain requirements are necessary. This includes not only adequate pain control, but also functional improvement. Four domains have been proposed for management of patients on opioids. This includes pain relief, side effects, physical and psychosocial functioning, and the occurrence of any potentially aberrant drug-related behaviors. As part of the pain treatment agreement, it is advised that "Refills are limited, and will only occur at appointments". In this case, there is inadequate documentation of persistent functional improvement seen. "Functional improvement" means either a clinically significant improvement in activities of daily living or a reduction in work restrictions as measured during the history and physical exam, performed and documented

as part of the evaluation and management visit and a reduction in the dependency on continued medical treatment. As such, the request is not medically necessary. All opioid medications should be titrated down slowly in order to prevent a significant withdrawal syndrome.

Methadone 10 Mg #180: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009, Section(s): Opioids, criteria for use.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009, Section(s): Opioids, criteria for use.

Decision rationale: The request is for the use of a medication in the opioid class. The MTUS guidelines state that for ongoing treatment with a pharmaceutical in this class, certain requirements are necessary. This includes not only adequate pain control, but also functional improvement. Four domains have been proposed for management of patients on opioids. This includes pain relief, side effects, physical and psychosocial functioning, and the occurrence of any potentially aberrant drug-related behaviors. As part of the pain treatment agreement, it is advised that "Refills are limited, and will only occur at appointments". In this case, there is inadequate documentation of persistent functional improvement seen. "Functional improvement" means either a clinically significant improvement in activities of daily living or a reduction in work restrictions as measured during the history and physical exam, performed and documented as part of the evaluation and management visit and a reduction in the dependency on continued medical treatment. As such, the request is not medically necessary. All opioid medications should be titrated down slowly in order to prevent a significant withdrawal syndrome.

Zolpidem 10 Mg #90: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG).

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Mental (stress)/ Zolpidem (Ambien).

Decision rationale: The request is for the use of zolpidem. The official disability guidelines state the following regarding the use of this medication: Not recommended for long-term use, but recommended for short-term use. See Insomnia treatment for zolpidem (brand names Ambien, Edluar, Intermezzo, Zolpimist). See also the Pain Chapter. Zolpidem is approved for the short-term (usually two to six weeks) treatment of insomnia. While sleeping pills, so-called minor tranquilizers, and anti-anxiety agents are commonly prescribed in chronic pain, pain specialists rarely, if ever, recommend them for long-term use. They can be habit-forming, and they may impair function and memory more than opioid pain relievers. There is also concern that they may increase pain and depression over the long-term. Ambien CR offers no significant clinical advantage over regular release zolpidem, and Ambien CR causes a greater frequency of dizziness, drowsiness, and headache compared to immediate release zolpidem. Due to adverse

effects, FDA now requires lower doses for zolpidem. The ER product is still more risky than IR. Even at the lower dose of Ambien CR now recommended by the FDA, 15% of women and 5% of men still had high levels of the drug in their system in the morning. (Pain Chapter) Emergency department (ED) visits for adverse reactions related to zolpidem increased by almost 220% in a recent 5-year period, according to the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA). Women and the elderly appear to be most prone to adverse reactions linked to zolpidem. Doctors should look at alternative strategies for treating insomnia such as sleep hygiene. By 2010 there were 64,175 ED visits involving zolpidem. The report stresses that zolpidem should be used safely for only a short period of time. (SAMHSA, 2013) Zolpidem (Ambien) increases the ability to remember images, but only those that have negative or highly arousing content. The findings have potential ramifications for patients prescribed zolpidem for relief of insomnia due to anxiety disorders, including posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD). Physicians should watch out for this counter therapeutic effect in patients with anxiety disorders and PTSD, because these are people who already have heightened memory for negative and high-arousal memories. The study also identified sleep spindles as the mechanism that enables the brain to consolidate emotional memory. Sleep spindles are brief bursts of brain activity that occur primarily during non-rapid eye movement (REM) sleep. (Kaestner, 2013) New analysis from SAMHSA shows that overmedicating with zolpidem led to a near doubling of emergency department (ED) visits during the periods 2005-2006 and 2009-2010. (SAMHSA, 2014) In this case, zolpidem is not indicated. This is secondary to the prolonged duration of use. As such, the request is not medically necessary.

Percocet 7.5/325 Mg #60: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009, Section(s): Opioids, criteria for use.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009, Section(s): Opioids, criteria for use.

Decision rationale: The request is for the use of a medication in the opioid class. The MTUS guidelines state that for ongoing treatment with a pharmaceutical in this class, certain requirements are necessary. This includes not only adequate pain control, but also functional improvement. Four domains have been proposed for management of patients on opioids. This includes pain relief, side effects, physical and psychosocial functioning, and the occurrence of any potentially aberrant drug-related behaviors. As part of the pain treatment agreement, it is advised that "Refills are limited, and will only occur at appointments". In this case, there is inadequate documentation of persistent functional improvement seen. "Functional improvement" means either a clinically significant improvement in activities of daily living or a reduction in work restrictions as measured during the history and physical exam, performed and documented as part of the evaluation and management visit and a reduction in the dependency on continued medical treatment. As such, the request is not medically necessary. All opioid medications should be titrated down slowly in order to prevent a significant withdrawal syndrome.