

<b>Case Number:</b>	CM15-0195898		
<b>Date Assigned:</b>	10/12/2015	<b>Date of Injury:</b>	01/28/2009
<b>Decision Date:</b>	11/25/2015	<b>UR Denial Date:</b>	09/16/2015
<b>Priority:</b>	Standard	<b>Application Received:</b>	10/05/2015

### HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:  
 State(s) of Licensure: New York, Montana, California  
 Certification(s)/Specialty: Neurological Surgery

### CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 50-year-old female, who sustained an industrial injury on 1-28-2009. The injured worker was diagnosed as having lumbar spine discopathy with right greater than left radiculopathy and L4-5 and L5-S1 herniated nucleus pulposus. Treatment to date has included rest, therapy, and medications. On 8-07-2015, the injured worker complains of "worsening" low back pain, described as aching and burning, with some radiation to the lumbar paraspinal muscles. Low back pain was rated 9 out of 10 and bilateral leg pain was rated 8 out of 10. She was currently taking Omeprazole and Ibuprofen, which she reported were "helping". Exam of the lumbar spine noted tenderness and spasm over the paralumbar musculature, bilateral positive straight leg raise (right greater than left), decreased sensation in the L5 dermatome, painful range of motion (10 degrees of flexion and 5 degrees extension), and increased clonus. The treating physician documented that "trials of rest, time off work, therapy, medications and all other conservative methods have failed". She remained "off work" per the PR2 dated 8-21-2015. Imaging of the lumbar spine was not submitted. The treatment plan included laminectomy and discectomy at L4-L5 and L5-S1 and associated services, non-certified by Utilization Review on 9-16-2015.

## IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

### **Laminectomy and discectomy at L4-L5 and L5-S1: Upheld**

**Claims Administrator guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Low Back Complaints 2004, Section(s): Surgical Considerations.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Low Back Complaints 2004, Section(s): Surgical Considerations.

**Decision rationale:** The California MTUS guidelines do recommend lumbar surgery if there is clear clinical, electrophysiological and imaging evidence of specific nerve root or spinal cord level of impingement, which would correlate with severe, persistent debilitating lower extremity pain unresponsive to conservative management. Documentation does not provide this evidence. Therefore, the request is not medically necessary and appropriate.

### **Associated surgical service: 2-day hospital stay: Upheld**

**Claims Administrator guideline:** The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** The Expert Reviewer did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

**Decision rationale:** Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.

### **Pre-operative clearance: Upheld**

**Claims Administrator guideline:** The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** The Expert Reviewer did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

**Decision rationale:** Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.

### **Associated surgical service: psychological clearance: Upheld**

**Claims Administrator guideline:** The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** The Expert Reviewer did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

**Decision rationale:** Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.

**Associated surgical service: Home help:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** The Expert Reviewer did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

**Decision rationale:** Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.

**Postoperative evaluation by registered nurse:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** The Expert Reviewer did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

**Decision rationale:** Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.

**Post-operative physical therapy visits x8, 2 times weekly for 4 weeks, for the lumbar spine:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** The Expert Reviewer did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

**Decision rationale:** Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.

**Post-operative follow-up with orthopedic surgeon:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** The Expert Reviewer did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

**Decision rationale:** Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.

**Associated surgical service: bone growth stimulator:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** The Expert Reviewer did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

**Decision rationale:** Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.

**Associated surgical service: TLSO brace:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** The Expert Reviewer did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

**Decision rationale:** Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.

**Associated surgical service: Ice unit:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** The Expert Reviewer did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

**Decision rationale:** Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.

**Associated surgical service: 3/1 commode:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** The Expert Reviewer did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

**Decision rationale:** Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.

**Associated surgical service: Front wheel walker:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** The Expert Reviewer did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

**Decision rationale:** Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.

**Duracef 500mg, 1 tab BID for 7 days: Upheld**

**Claims Administrator guideline:** The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** The Expert Reviewer did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

**Decision rationale:** Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.