

Case Number:	CM15-0195587		
Date Assigned:	10/09/2015	Date of Injury:	03/28/1995
Decision Date:	11/16/2015	UR Denial Date:	09/22/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	10/05/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: New York

Certification(s)/Specialty: Anesthesiology

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 60 year old male, who sustained an industrial injury on March, 28, 1995. He reported low back pain. The injured worker was currently diagnosed as having degeneration of lumbosacral intervertebral disc, spondylosis and chronic pain syndrome. Treatment to date has included heat, home exercises and medication. Physical therapy was noted to provide "some symptom relief." On September 11, 2015, the injured worker complained of chronic back pain rated a 7-8 on a 1-10 pain scale. His pain was noted to be in his lumbar spine with some left sided radiculopathy. He reported his pain back was "somewhat better" due to heat. The treatment plan included Norco medication, possible epidural injection, lumbar support and a follow-up visit. On September 22, 2015, utilization review denied a request for Norco 10-325mg #30, Norco 10-325mg #30 (fill on 10-11-2015), Norco 10-325mg #90, Norco 10-325mg #90 (fill on 10-11-2015), one lumbosacral orthosis and lumbar epidural steroid injection.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Norco 10/325mg #30: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009, Section(s): Opioids, criteria for use.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009, Section(s): Opioids for chronic pain. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Opioids.

Decision rationale: According to the CA MTUS and ODG, Norco 10/325mg (Hydrocodone/Acetaminophen) is a short-acting opioid analgesic indicated for moderate to moderately severe pain, and is used to manage both acute and chronic pain. The treatment of chronic pain with any opioid analgesic requires review and documentation of pain relief, functional status, appropriate medication use, and side effects. A pain assessment should include current pain, intensity of pain after taking the opiate, and the duration of pain relief. In this case, there is insufficient evidence that the opioids were prescribed according to the CA MTUS guidelines, which recommend prescribing according to function, with specific functional goals, return to work, random drug testing, an opioid contract, and documentation of a prior failure of non-opioid therapy. In addition, the MTUS recommends urine drug screens for patients with poor pain control and to help manage patients at risk of abuse. There is no documentation of significant pain relief or increased function from the opioids used to date. Medical necessity of the requested medication has not been established. Of note, discontinuation of an opioid analgesic should include a taper to avoid withdrawal symptoms. The requested medication is not medically necessary.

Norco 10/325 mg#30 (fill on 10/11/2015): Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009, Section(s): Opioids, criteria for use.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009, Section(s): Opioids for chronic pain. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Opioids.

Decision rationale: According to the CA MTUS and ODG, Norco 10/325mg (Hydrocodone/Acetaminophen) is a short-acting opioid analgesic indicated for moderate to moderately severe pain, and is used to manage both acute and chronic pain. The treatment of chronic pain with any opioid analgesic requires review and documentation of pain relief, functional status, appropriate medication use, and side effects. A pain assessment should include current pain, intensity of pain after taking the opiate, and the duration of pain relief. In this case, there is insufficient evidence that the opioids were prescribed according to the CA MTUS guidelines, which recommend prescribing according to function, with specific functional goals, return to work, random drug testing, an opioid contract, and documentation of a prior failure of non-opioid therapy. In addition, the MTUS recommends urine drug screens for patients with poor pain control and to help manage patients at risk of abuse. There is no documentation of significant pain relief or increased function from the opioids used to date. Medical necessity of the requested medication has not been established. Of note, discontinuation of an opioid analgesic should include a taper to avoid withdrawal symptoms. The requested medication is not medically necessary.

Norco 10/325mg #90: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009, Section(s): Opioids, criteria for use.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009, Section(s): Opioids for chronic pain. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Opioids.

Decision rationale: According to the CA MTUS and ODG, Norco 10/325mg (Hydrocodone/Acetaminophen) is a short-acting opioid analgesic indicated for moderate to moderately severe pain, and is used to manage both acute and chronic pain. The treatment of chronic pain with any opioid analgesic requires review and documentation of pain relief, functional status, appropriate medication use, and side effects. A pain assessment should include current pain, intensity of pain after taking the opiate, and the duration of pain relief. In this case, there is insufficient evidence that the opioids were prescribed according to the CA MTUS guidelines, which recommend prescribing according to function, with specific functional goals, return to work, random drug testing, an opioid contract, and documentation of a prior failure of non-opioid therapy. In addition, the MTUS recommends urine drug screens for patients with poor pain control and to help manage patients at risk of abuse. There is no documentation of significant pain relief or increased function from the opioids used to date. Medical necessity of the requested medication has not been established. Of note, discontinuation of an opioid analgesic should include a taper to avoid withdrawal symptoms. The requested medication is not medically necessary.

Norco 10/325mg #90 (Fill On 10/11/15): Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009, Section(s): Opioids, criteria for use.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009, Section(s): Opioids for chronic pain. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Opioids.

Decision rationale: According to the CA MTUS and ODG, Norco 10/325mg (Hydrocodone/Acetaminophen) is a short-acting opioid analgesic indicated for moderate to moderately severe pain, and is used to manage both acute and chronic pain. The treatment of chronic pain with any opioid analgesic requires review and documentation of pain relief, functional status, appropriate medication use, and side effects. A pain assessment should include current pain, intensity of pain after taking the opiate, and the duration of pain relief. In this case, there is insufficient evidence that the opioids were prescribed according to the CA MTUS guidelines, which recommend prescribing according to function, with specific functional goals, return to work, random drug testing, an opioid contract, and documentation of a prior failure of non-opioid therapy. In addition, the MTUS recommends urine drug screens for patients with poor pain control and to help manage patients at risk of abuse. There is no documentation of significant pain relief or increased function from the opioids used to date. Medical necessity of the requested medication has not been established. Of note, discontinuation of an opioid analgesic should include a taper to avoid withdrawal symptoms. The requested medication is not medically necessary.

1 Lumbosacral Orthosis: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Low Back Complaints 2004.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Low Back Complaints 2004, Section(s): General Approach. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Lumbar Supports.

Decision rationale: According to ODG, lumbar supports are recommended as an option for compression fractures and specific treatment of spondylolisthesis, documented instability, and for treatment of nonspecific LBP (very low-quality evidence, but may be a conservative option).

According to MTUS/ACOEM guidelines, lumbar support braces have not been shown to have lasting benefit beyond the acute phase of symptom relief. In this case, this patient has had chronic low back pain complaints, and a lumbar support brace is not warranted. The guidelines do not recommend lumbar braces for treatment of low back pain. Medical necessity for the requested lumbar support brace has not been supported or established. The requested item is not medically necessary.

Lumbar epidural Steroid Injection: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009, Section(s): Epidural steroid injections (ESIs).

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009, Section(s): Epidural steroid injections (ESIs). Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) ESIs.

Decision rationale: Epidural steroid injections (ESIs) are recommended as an option for treatment of radicular pain (defined as pain in a dermatomal distribution with corroborative findings of radiculopathy). ESIs can offer short-term pain relief and use should be in conjunction with other rehab efforts. The purpose of ESIs is to reduce pain and inflammation, restoring range of motion and thereby facilitating progress in more active treatment programs, and avoiding surgery, but this treatment alone offers no significant long-term functional benefit. According to the CA MTUS guidelines, radiculopathy must be documented by physical examination and corroborated by imaging studies and/or electro-diagnostic testing. The patient must be initially unresponsive to conservative treatment (exercises, physical methods, NSAIDs and muscle relaxants). In this case, the patient has low back pain with pain into the left lower extremity. However, there are no reported neurological findings, imaging studies, or electro-diagnostic testing to support radiculopathy. In addition, there is no lumbar level requested for the ESI. Medical necessity for the requested lumbar ESI has not been established. The requested ESI is not medically necessary.