

Case Number:	CM15-0194308		
Date Assigned:	10/13/2015	Date of Injury:	10/29/2011
Decision Date:	12/17/2015	UR Denial Date:	09/23/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	10/02/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: California

Certification(s)/Specialty: Emergency Medicine

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 57 year old, male who sustained a work related injury on 10-29-11. A review of the medical records shows he is being treated for neck, low back and knee pain. Treatments have included medications and home exercises. Current medications include In the progress notes 3-12-13, he reports persistent neck and low back pain. He reports bilateral knee, foot and ankle pain. In the objective findings dated 3-12-13, he has cervical tenderness at the paravertebral muscles and upper trapezial muscles. He has painful and restricted cervical range of motion. He has tenderness at the lumbar paravertebral muscles. He has pain with lumbar range of motion. He has tenderness at bilateral knee joints. He has tenderness of bilateral ankles and feet. He has pain with ankle range of motion. He is not working. The treatment plan includes a request for continuing medications. In the Utilization Review dated 9-23-15, the requested treatments of Ketoprofen powder 18gm., Glycerin liquid 36ml, Lidocaine HCL powder 1.2gm., Capsaicin powder 0.0144gm., Capsaicin powder 0.015gm., Tramadol HCL powder 6gm., Cyclobenzaprine HCL powder 2.4gm., and Glycerin liquid 30ml. all for date of service 4-12-15 are not medically necessary.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Retrospective - Ketoprofen powder 18 gm (DOS 4/12/13): Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009, Section(s): Topical Analgesics.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Pain (Chronic)/Ketoprofen, topical.

Decision rationale: The request is for the use of Ketoprofen topically. The official disability guidelines state the following regarding this topic: Not recommended in the U.S., as there are currently no FDA-approved versions of this product, but it is a first-line drug in Europe. See Topical analgesics, Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory agents (NSAIDs), and the ketoprofen topical listing, for more information and references. Topical NSAIDs are generally recommended for short term use for acute sprain/strains and longer term for osteoarthritis of the knee and hand, particularly in individuals with risk for GI ulceration, but they are not indicated for treatment of the low back or neuropathic pain. At this time, the only available FDA-approved topical NSAID is diclofenac, but recent high quality studies have identified a dangerous increased risk profile with diclofenac, including topical formulations, making it a second-line recommended treatment in ODG. Topical ketoprofen has been approved by the European FDA (the European Medicines Agency), and the European EULAR and NICE guidelines state these approved formulations of topical ketoprofen should be a first-line treatment, and should be considered before oral NSAIDs because they have shown efficacy significantly superior to placebo and similar to oral NSAIDs, without the same risks of adverse effects. While there are no FDA approved formulations of topical ketoprofen available in the U.S., the product is available from compounding pharmacies. Compound medications are not FDA approved, but they are allowed under state pharmacy regulations. See Compound drugs. Because each compounding pharmacy may create their own version, FDA cannot be a source of information on safety and effectiveness of each version, or on generic equivalency. At this time, there are no high quality studies of any of the various pharmacy compounded formulations of topical ketoprofen available in the U.S. Also, while topical ketoprofen has been used extensively in Europe, in 2009 France removed this product from the market due to photosensitivity reactions. The drug has been reinstated, but this may be a serious problem. See the ketoprofen topical listing in Topical analgesics, Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory agents. Note: Topical ketoprofen is not listed on the ODG Drug Formulary because the scope of the ODG Drug Formulary only includes FDA approved drugs (Formulary Scope). In this case, the use of this medication is not guideline-supported. This is secondary to no FDA-approved versions of this product. As such, the request is not medically necessary.

Retrospective - Glycerin liquid 36 ml (DOS 4/12/13): Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009, Section(s): Topical Analgesics.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Pain (Chronic)/Opioid-induced constipation treatment.

Decision rationale: The request is for a medication to aid in constipation. The Official Disability Guidelines state the following regarding this topic: Recommended as indicated below. In the section, Opioids, criteria for use, if prescribing opioids has been determined to be appropriate, then ODG recommends, under Initiating Therapy, that Prophylactic treatment of constipation should be initiated. Opioid-induced constipation is a common adverse effect of long-term opioid use because the binding of opioids to peripheral opioid receptors in the gastrointestinal (GI) tract results in absorption of electrolytes, such as chloride, with a subsequent reduction in small intestinal fluid. Activation of enteric opioid receptors also results in abnormal GI motility. Constipation occurs commonly in patients receiving opioids and can be severe enough to cause discontinuation of therapy. First-line: When prescribing an opioid, and especially if it will be needed for more than a few days, there should be an open discussion with the patient that this medication may be constipating, and the first steps should be identified to correct this. Simple treatments include increasing physical activity, maintaining appropriate hydration by drinking enough water, and advising the patient to follow a proper diet, rich in fiber. These can reduce the chance and severity of opioid-induced constipation and constipation in general. In addition, some laxatives may help to stimulate gastric motility. Other over-the-counter medications can help loosen otherwise hard stools, add bulk, and increase water content of the stool. Second-line: If the first-line treatments do not work, there are other second-line options. About 20% of patients on opioids develop constipation, and some of the traditional constipation medications don't work as well with these patients, because the problem is not from the gastrointestinal tract but from the central nervous system, so treating these patients is different from treating a traditional patient with constipation. An oral formulation of methylnaltrexone (Relistor) met the primary and key secondary end points in a study that examined its effectiveness in relieving constipation related to opioid use for non-cancer-related pain. The effectiveness of oral methylnaltrexone in this study was comparable to that reported in clinical studies of subcutaneous methylnaltrexone in subjects with chronic non-cancer-related pain. There was an 80% improvement in response with the 450 mg dose and a 55% improvement with 300 mg. Constipation drug lubiprostone (Amitiza) shows efficacy and tolerability in treating opioid-induced constipation without affecting patients' analgesic response to the pain medications. Lubiprostone is a locally acting chloride channel activator that has a distinctive mechanism that counteracts the constipation associated with opioids without interfering with the opiates binding to their target receptors. (Bader, 2013) (Gras-Miralles, 2013) See also Tapentadol (Nucynta), which has improved gastrointestinal tolerability for patients complaining of constipation, nausea, and/or vomiting. The FDA has approved methylnaltrexone bromide (Relistor) subcutaneous injection 12 mg/0.6 mL for the treatment of opioid-induced constipation in patients taking opioids for non-cancer pain. (FDA, 2014) As stated above, measures to combat constipation for patients on opioids are needed. In this case, the use of this medication is not indicated. The patient is currently on a medication in the opioid class with the resultant side effect of constipation. The opioid medication has been non-certified for use. As such, there is lack of need for this medication. Therefore, the request is not medically necessary.

Retrospective - Lidocaine HCL powder 1.2 gm (DOS 4/12/13): Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009, Section(s): Topical Analgesics.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Pain (Chronic)/Compounded drugs.

Decision rationale: The request is for the use of a compounded medication. The official disability guidelines state the following regarding this topic: Not recommended as a first-line therapy. In general, commercially available, FDA-approved drugs should be given an adequate trial. If these are found to be ineffective or are contraindicated in individual patients, compound drugs that use FDA-approved ingredients may be considered. (Wynn, 2011) See specific entries for each ingredient. See also topical analgesics, compounded. Pharmacy compounding has traditionally involved combining drug ingredients to meet the needs of specific patients for medications that are not otherwise commercially available, and it is undertaken on a patient-by-patient basis for patients who, for example, might be allergic to inactive ingredients in FDA-approved drugs or may need a different dosage strength or route of administration. Unlike commercially available drugs, these products are not approved by the FDA but rather are regulated by the state pharmacy board and state law governing the practice of pharmacy. The FDA does not regulate pharmacy-compounded products in recognition of the important public health function performed by traditional compounding. Recently, some pharmacies have been making and marketing stock compound drugs for the WC patient population. Among the FDA "Red Flags" for Enforcement Action on Compounded Drugs is: "Compounding drugs in anticipation of receiving prescriptions, except in very limited quantities in relation to amounts compounded after receiving valid prescriptions." (FDA, 2011) Compound topical analgesics may provide relief by acting locally over the painful site with lower risk of systemic adverse effects on the gastrointestinal system and drug interactions than oral NSAIDs. The issues surrounding compound drugs are due to uncertainties regarding whether the products are medically appropriate and whether payments are reasonable, with the latter issue possibly also involving who dispenses the drug. Medical necessity should be based on the patient's needs combined with the medical and scientific evidence presented in ODG. ODG does not address pricing and fee schedules, but in general there should be consistency within a pharmacy fee schedule for products containing the same active ingredients, so that there is not an inappropriate incentive to use compounding. (Wynn, 2011) See also Co-pack drugs; Medical foods; Physician-dispensed drugs; Repackaged drugs; & Topical analgesics, compounded. Criteria for Compound drugs: (1) Include at least one drug substance (or active ingredient) that is the sole active ingredient in an FDA-approved prescription drug, not including OTC drugs. (2) Include only bulk ingredients that are components of FDA-approved drugs that have been made in an FDA-registered facility and have an NDC code. (3) Is not a drug that was withdrawn or removed from the market for safety reasons. (4) Is not a copy of a commercially available FDA-approved drug product. (5) Include only drug substances that have been supported as safe and effective for the prescribed indication by the FDA-approval process and/or by adequate medical and scientific evidence in the medical literature. This would allow off-label usage when supported by medical evidence. See specific entries for each ingredient in ODG for the medical and scientific evidence. (6) Any compounded product that contains at least one drug (or drug class) that is not recommended is not recommended. The use of compounded agents requires knowledge of the specific analgesic effect of each agent and how it will be useful for the specific therapeutic goal required. See also topical analgesics, compounded. (Wynn, 2011) As stated above the use of this medication is not

indicated. This is secondary to no documentation which states that there has been a failure of first-line FDA approved drug therapy or any explanation as to why this compounded formula is superior in efficacy. As such, the request is not medically necessary.

Retrospective - Capsaicin powder 0.0144 gm (DOS 4/12/13): Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009, Section(s): Topical Analgesics.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009, Section(s): Topical Analgesics.

Decision rationale: The request is for the use of a compounded medication for topical use to aid in pain relief. These products contain multiple ingredients which each have specific properties and mechanisms of action. The MTUS guidelines state the following: "Any compounded product that contains at least one drug (or drug class) that is not recommended is not recommended." In this case, the compounded topical treatment contains Capsaicin. Qualifying factors for this product is indicated by the following per the guidelines: Capsaicin: Recommended only as an option in patients who have not responded or are intolerant to other treatments. Formulations: Capsaicin is generally available as a 0.025% formulation (as a treatment for osteoarthritis) and a 0.075% formulation (primarily studied for post-herpetic neuralgia, diabetic neuropathy and post-mastectomy pain). There have been no studies of a 0.0375% formulation of capsaicin and there is no current indication that this increase over a 0.025% formulation would provide any further efficacy. Indications: There are positive randomized studies with capsaicin cream in patients with osteoarthritis, fibromyalgia, and chronic non-specific back pain, but it should be considered experimental in very high doses. Although topical capsaicin has moderate to poor efficacy, it may be particularly useful (alone or in conjunction with other modalities) in patients whose pain has not been controlled successfully with conventional therapy. The number needed to treat in musculoskeletal conditions was 8.1. The number needed to treat for neuropathic conditions was 5.7. (Robbins, 2000) (Keitel, 2001) (Mason-BMJ, 2004) In this case, as stated above, the patient would not qualify for the use of capsaicin based on the diagnosis. As such, the request is not medically necessary.

Retrospective - Tramadol HCL powder 6 gm (DOS 4/12/13): Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009, Section(s): Topical Analgesics.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Pain (Chronic)/Compounded drugs.

Decision rationale: The request is for the use of a compounded medication. The official disability guidelines state the following regarding this topic: Not recommended as a first-line therapy. In general, commercially available, FDA-approved drugs should be given an adequate

trial. If these are found to be ineffective or are contraindicated in individual patients, compound drugs that use FDA-approved ingredients may be considered. (Wynn, 2011) See specific entries for each ingredient. See also topical analgesics, compounded. Pharmacy compounding has traditionally involved combining drug ingredients to meet the needs of specific patients for medications that are not otherwise commercially available, and it is undertaken on a patient-by-patient basis for patients who, for example, might be allergic to inactive ingredients in FDA-approved drugs or may need a different dosage strength or route of administration. Unlike commercially available drugs, these products are not approved by the FDA but rather are regulated by the state pharmacy board and state law governing the practice of pharmacy. The FDA does not regulate pharmacy-compounded products in recognition of the important public health function performed by traditional compounding. Recently, some pharmacies have been making and marketing stock compound drugs for the WC patient population. Among the FDA "Red Flags" for Enforcement Action on Compounded Drugs is: "Compounding drugs in anticipation of receiving prescriptions, except in very limited quantities in relation to amounts compounded after receiving valid prescriptions." (FDA, 2011) Compound topical analgesics may provide relief by acting locally over the painful site with lower risk of systemic adverse effects on the gastrointestinal system and drug interactions than oral NSAIDs. The issues surrounding compound drugs are due to uncertainties regarding whether the products are medically appropriate and whether payments are reasonable, with the latter issue possibly also involving who dispenses the drug. Medical necessity should be based on the patient's needs combined with the medical and scientific evidence presented in ODG. ODG does not address pricing and fee schedules, but in general there should be consistency within a pharmacy fee schedule for products containing the same active ingredients, so that there is not an inappropriate incentive to use compounding. (Wynn, 2011) See also Co-pack drugs; Medical foods; Physician-dispensed drugs; Repackaged drugs; & Topical analgesics, compounded. Criteria for Compound drugs: (1) Include at least one drug substance (or active ingredient) that is the sole active ingredient in an FDA-approved prescription drug, not including OTC drugs. (2) Include only bulk ingredients that are components of FDA-approved drugs that have been made in an FDA-registered facility and have an NDC code. (3) Is not a drug that was withdrawn or removed from the market for safety reasons. (4) Is not a copy of a commercially available FDA-approved drug product. (5) Include only drug substances that have been supported as safe and effective for the prescribed indication by the FDA-approval process and/or by adequate medical and scientific evidence in the medical literature. This would allow off-label usage when supported by medical evidence. See specific entries for each ingredient in ODG for the medical and scientific evidence. (6) Any compounded product that contains at least one drug (or drug class) that is not recommended is not recommended. The use of compounded agents requires knowledge of the specific analgesic effect of each agent and how it will be useful for the specific therapeutic goal required. See also topical analgesics, compounded. (Wynn, 2011) As stated above the use of this medication is not indicated. This is secondary to no documentation which states that there has been a failure of first-line FDA approved drug therapy or any explanation as to why this compounded formula is superior in efficacy. As such, the request is not medically necessary.

Retrospective - Cyclobenzaprine HCL powder 2.4 gm (DOS 4/12/13): Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009, Section(s): Topical Analgesics.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Pain (Chronic)/Compounded drugs.

Decision rationale: The request is for the use of a compounded medication. The official disability guidelines state the following regarding this topic: Not recommended as a first-line therapy. In general, commercially available, FDA-approved drugs should be given an adequate trial. If these are found to be ineffective or are contraindicated in individual patients, compound drugs that use FDA-approved ingredients may be considered. (Wynn, 2011) See specific entries for each ingredient. See also Topical analgesics, compounded. Pharmacy compounding has traditionally involved combining drug ingredients to meet the needs of specific patients for medications that are not otherwise commercially available, and it is undertaken on a patient-by-patient basis for patients who, for example, might be allergic to inactive ingredients in FDA-approved drugs or may need a different dosage strength or route of administration. Unlike commercially available drugs, these products are not approved by the FDA but rather are regulated by the state pharmacy board and state law governing the practice of pharmacy. The FDA does not regulate pharmacy-compounded products in recognition of the important public health function performed by traditional compounding. Recently, some pharmacies have been making and marketing stock compound drugs for the WC patient population. Among the FDA "Red Flags" for Enforcement Action on Compounded Drugs is: 'Compounding drugs in anticipation of receiving prescriptions, except in very limited quantities in relation to amounts compounded after receiving valid prescriptions.' (FDA, 2011) Compound topical analgesics may provide relief by acting locally over the painful site with lower risk of systemic adverse effects on the gastrointestinal system and drug interactions than oral NSAIDs. The issues surrounding compound drugs are due to uncertainties regarding whether the products are medically appropriate and whether payments are reasonable, with the latter issue possibly also involving who dispenses the drug. Medical necessity should be based on the patient's needs combined with the medical and scientific evidence presented in ODG. ODG does not address pricing and fee schedules, but in general there should be consistency within a pharmacy fee schedule for products containing the same active ingredients, so that there is not an inappropriate incentive to use compounding. (Wynn, 2011) See also Co-pack drugs; Medical foods; Physician-dispensed drugs; Repackaged drugs; & Topical analgesics, compounded. Criteria for Compound drugs: (1) Include at least one drug substance (or active ingredient) that is the sole active ingredient in an FDA-approved prescription drug, not including OTC drugs. (2) Include only bulk ingredients that are components of FDA-approved drugs that have been made in an FDA-registered facility and have an NDC code. (3) Is not a drug that was withdrawn or removed from the market for safety reasons. (4) Is not a copy of a commercially available FDA-approved drug product. (5) Include only drug substances that have been supported as safe and effective for the prescribed indication by the FDA-approval process and/or by adequate medical and scientific evidence in the medical literature. This would allow off-label usage when supported by medical evidence. See specific entries for each ingredient in ODG for the medical and scientific evidence. (6) Any compounded product that contains at least one drug (or drug class) that is not recommended is not recommended. The use of compounded agents requires knowledge of the specific analgesic effect of each agent and how it will be useful for the specific therapeutic goal required. See also Topical analgesics, compounded. (Wynn, 2011) As stated above the use of this medication is not

indicated. This is secondary to no documentation which states that there has been a failure of first-line FDA approved drug therapy or any explanation as to why this compounded formula is superior in efficacy. As such, the request is not medically necessary.

Retrospective - Capsaicin powder 0.015 gm (DOS 4/12/13): Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009, Section(s): Topical Analgesics.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009, Section(s): Topical Analgesics.

Decision rationale: The request is for the use of a compounded medication for topical use to aid in pain relief. These products contain multiple ingredients which each have specific properties and mechanisms of action. The MTUS guidelines state the following: "Any compounded product that contains at least one drug (or drug class) that is not recommended is not recommended." In this case, the compounded topical treatment contains Capsaicin. Qualifying factors for this product is indicated by the following per the guidelines: Capsaicin: Recommended only as an option in patients who have not responded or are intolerant to other treatments. Formulations: Capsaicin is generally available as a 0.025% formulation (as a treatment for osteoarthritis) and a 0.075% formulation (primarily studied for post-herpetic neuralgia, diabetic neuropathy and post-mastectomy pain). There have been no studies of a 0.0375% formulation of capsaicin and there is no current indication that this increase over a 0.025% formulation would provide any further efficacy. Indications: There are positive randomized studies with capsaicin cream in patients with osteoarthritis, fibromyalgia, and chronic non-specific back pain, but it should be considered experimental in very high doses. Although topical capsaicin has moderate to poor efficacy, it may be particularly useful (alone or in conjunction with other modalities) in patients whose pain has not been controlled successfully with conventional therapy. The number needed to treat in musculoskeletal conditions was 8.1. The number needed to treat for neuropathic conditions was 5.7. (Robbins, 2000) (Keitel, 2001) (Mason-BMJ, 2004) In this case, as stated above, the patient would not qualify for the use of capsaicin based on the diagnosis. As such, the request is not medically necessary.

Glycerin liquid 30 ml: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009, Section(s): Topical Analgesics.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Pain (Chronic)/Opioid-induced constipation treatment.

Decision rationale: The request is for a medication to aid in constipation. The Official Disability Guidelines state the following regarding this topic: Recommended as indicated below. In the section, Opioids, criteria for use, if prescribing opioids has been determined to be

appropriate, then ODG recommends, under Initiating Therapy, that Prophylactic treatment of constipation should be initiated. Opioid-induced constipation is a common adverse effect of long-term opioid use because the binding of opioids to peripheral opioid receptors in the gastrointestinal (GI) tract results in absorption of electrolytes, such as chloride, with a subsequent reduction in small intestinal fluid. Activation of enteric opioid receptors also results in abnormal GI motility. Constipation occurs commonly in patients receiving opioids and can be severe enough to cause discontinuation of therapy. First-line: When prescribing an opioid, and especially if it will be needed for more than a few days, there should be an open discussion with the patient that this medication may be constipating, and the first steps should be identified to correct this. Simple treatments include increasing physical activity, maintaining appropriate hydration by drinking enough water, and advising the patient to follow a proper diet, rich in fiber. These can reduce the chance and severity of opioid-induced constipation and constipation in general. In addition, some laxatives may help to stimulate gastric motility. Other over-the-counter medications can help loosen otherwise hard stools, add bulk, and increase water content of the stool. Second-line: If the first-line treatments do not work, there are other second-line options. About 20% of patients on opioids develop constipation, and some of the traditional constipation medications don't work as well with these patients, because the problem is not from the gastrointestinal tract but from the central nervous system, so treating these patients is different from treating a traditional patient with constipation. An oral formulation of methylnaltrexone (Relistor) met the primary and key secondary end points in a study that examined its effectiveness in relieving constipation related to opioid use for non-cancer-related pain. The effectiveness of oral methylnaltrexone in this study was comparable to that reported in clinical studies of subcutaneous methylnaltrexone in subjects with chronic non-cancer-related pain. There was an 80% improvement in response with the 450 mg dose and a 55% improvement with 300 mg. Constipation drug lubiprostone (Amitiza) shows efficacy and tolerability in treating opioid-induced constipation without affecting patients' analgesic response to the pain medications. Lubiprostone is a locally acting chloride channel activator that has a distinctive mechanism that counteracts the constipation associated with opioids without interfering with the opiates binding to their target receptors. (Bader, 2013) (Gras-Miralles, 2013) See also Tapentadol (Nucynta), which has improved gastrointestinal tolerability for patients complaining of constipation, nausea, and/or vomiting. The FDA has approved methylnaltrexone bromide (Relistor) subcutaneous injection 12 mg/0.6 mL for the treatment of opioid-induced constipation in patients taking opioids for non-cancer pain. (FDA, 2014) As stated above, measures to combat constipation for patients on opioids are needed. In this case, the use of this medication is not indicated. The patient is currently on a medication in the opioid class with the resultant side effect of constipation. The opioid medication has been non-certified for use. As such, there is lack of need for this medication. Therefore, the request is not medically necessary.

Flurbiprofen powder 12 gm: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009, Section(s): Topical Analgesics.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Pain (Chronic)/Compounded drugs.

Decision rationale: The request is for the use of a compounded medication. The official disability guidelines state the following regarding this topic: Not recommended as a first-line therapy. In general, commercially available, FDA-approved drugs should be given an adequate trial. If these are found to be ineffective or are contraindicated in individual patients, compound drugs that use FDA-approved ingredients may be considered. (Wynn, 2011) See specific entries for each ingredient. See also Topical analgesics, compounded. Pharmacy compounding has traditionally involved combining drug ingredients to meet the needs of specific patients for medications that are not otherwise commercially available, and it is undertaken on a patient-by-patient basis for patients who, for example, might be allergic to inactive ingredients in FDA-approved drugs or may need a different dosage strength or route of administration. Unlike commercially available drugs, these products are not approved by the FDA but rather are regulated by the state pharmacy board and state law governing the practice of pharmacy. The FDA does not regulate pharmacy-compounded products in recognition of the important public health function performed by traditional compounding. Recently, some pharmacies have been making and marketing stock compound drugs for the WC patient population. Among the FDA "Red Flags" for Enforcement Action on Compounded Drugs is: "Compounding drugs in anticipation of receiving prescriptions, except in very limited quantities in relation to amounts compounded after receiving valid prescriptions." (FDA, 2011) Compound topical analgesics may provide relief by acting locally over the painful site with lower risk of systemic adverse effects on the gastrointestinal system and drug interactions than oral NSAIDs. The issues surrounding compound drugs are due to uncertainties regarding whether the products are medically appropriate and whether payments are reasonable, with the latter issue possibly also involving who dispenses the drug. Medical necessity should be based on the patient's needs combined with the medical and scientific evidence presented in ODG. ODG does not address pricing and fee schedules, but in general there should be consistency within a pharmacy fee schedule for products containing the same active ingredients, so that there is not an inappropriate incentive to use compounding. (Wynn, 2011) See also Co-pack drugs; Medical foods; Physician-dispensed drugs; Repackaged drugs; & Topical analgesics, compounded. Criteria for Compound drugs: (1) Include at least one drug substance (or active ingredient) that is the sole active ingredient in an FDA-approved prescription drug, not including OTC drugs. (2) Include only bulk ingredients that are components of FDA-approved drugs that have been made in an FDA-registered facility and have an NDC code. (3) Is not a drug that was withdrawn or removed from the market for safety reasons. (4) Is not a copy of a commercially available FDA-approved drug product. (5) Include only drug substances that have been supported as safe and effective for the prescribed indication by the FDA-approval process and/or by adequate medical and scientific evidence in the medical literature. This would allow off-label usage when supported by medical evidence. See specific entries for each ingredient in ODG for the medical and scientific evidence. (6) Any compounded product that contains at least one drug (or drug class) that is not recommended is not recommended. The use of compounded agents requires knowledge of the specific analgesic effect of each agent and how it will be useful for the specific therapeutic goal required. See also Topical analgesics, compounded. (Wynn, 2011) As stated above the use of this medication is not indicated. This is secondary to no documentation which states that there has been a failure of first-line FDA approved drug therapy or any explanation as to why this compounded formula is superior in efficacy. As such, the request is not medically necessary.